

B (6)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH

No
Contempl-

C.A./41/91 in
O.A. No. /295/88
T.A. No.

DATE OF DECISION 20-4-93

Teja Noghan Petitioner

Mr.B.B.Gogia Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & others Respondent

Mr.R.M.Vin Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. R.C.Bhatt

: Judicial Member

The Hon'ble Mr.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ? ✓
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? ✗
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ? ✗
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? ✗

7

Shri Teja Noghan,
Adult, Occ. Service,
working as Gangman,
Add. Gang No.7,
under P.W.I.,
Western Railway,
Gondal,
Dist. Rajkot.

....applicant

Advocate : Mr.B.B.Gogia.

versus

1. Union of India,
Owning & Representing,
Western Railway, through
Shri H.P.Mittal, General Manager,
Western Railway,
Churchgate, Bombay-400 020.
2. Divisional Railway Manager,
Shri S.R.Bahadur,
Western Railway,
Bhavnagar Division,
Bhavnagar-Para.
3. Divisional Engineer (II)
Shri M.P.Singh,
Western Railway,
Bhavnagar Division,
Bhavnagar Para.

...respondents

Advocate : Mr.R.M.Vin

O R A L O R D E R

C.A./41/91 in

O.A./295/88

Date: 20-4-93

Per : Hon'ble Mr.R.C.Bhatt,
Judicial Member

Mr.Gogia for the applicant and

8

and Mr.Vin for the respondents are present.

2. Mr.Gogia, learned advocate for the applicant does not want to proceed with this ~~xxx~~ Contempt Application as the respondents have complied with the order in O.A./295/88. Hence, the application is disposed of . Notices are discharged.

R.C. Bhatt

(R.C.Bhatt)

Judicial Member

*SS