

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ALLAHABAD BENCH
ALLAHABAD

Civil Contempt Petition No. 69 of 2003

in

Original Application No. 911 of 2002

Allahabad, this the 19th day of May, 2003

QUORUM : HON. MR.S.K.AGRAWAL, MEMBER (A)
HON. MRS. MEERA CHHIBBER, MEMBER (J)

Jagdish Prasad.

.....Applicant.

(By Advocate : Shri A.B.L.Srivastava)

Versus

1. Shri D.N.Verma,
Former Superintendent Post Offices,
Etah, presently posted as Senior Post
Master, Pratapgarh.

2. Shri R.L.Chaurasia,
Superintendent of Post Offices,
Etah.

....Respondent/Contemnor

ORDER (Oral)

By Hon'ble Mr.S.K. Agarwal, Member (A)

None for the respondents. The applicant
compliance
has come up in a contempt petition no.69/03 due to non- of
order dated 21.08.02 passed in O.A.No.911 of 2002.

2. The applicant had already moved a contempt
petition no.232 of 2002, which was rejected by this
Court by order dated 17.12.2002 wherein it was mentioned
that the representation filed by the applicant has been
decided by the respondents on 28.10.02 and the matter
was referred to Postal Directorate for consideration
of ex-gratia gratuity .

3. The learned counsel for the applicant

...pg.2/-

mentions that the said payment of ex-gratia gratuity amount has now been paid to the applicant but, interest has not been paid to him for the period from June, 2002 to the date of actual payment.

4. We have heard the counsel for the applicant but, we are of the view that ^{this} ~~second~~ contempt petition does not lie in this case --Since the plea of the applicant does not come out in the order of O.A., which was decided on 28.02.2002 or in the contempt petition's order dated 17.02.2003. If the applicant has got any further grievance, he can either approach the higher court or file a fresh O.A., if provided under the rules. The contempt petition is not maintainable and is accordingly dismissed.



Member (J)



Member (A)

/M.M./