

Open Court.

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ALLAHABAD BENCH,

A-LLAHABAD!

....

Original Application No. 639 of 2002.

this the 31st day of May'2002.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.R.K. TRIVEDI, V.C.

HON'BLE MR. S. DAYAL, MEMBER(A)

Smt. Vimla Rai, W/o U.N. Rai, R/o Raipura, District
Ballia.

Applicant.

By Advocate : Sri S.K. Misra.

Versus.

1. Union of India through the Secretary Ministry
of Communication, Department of Posts , New Delhi
2. The postmaster General, Gorakhpur Region,
Gorakhpur.
3. The Asstt. Director Office of PMG, Gorakhpur.

Respondents

By Advocate : Sri R.C. Joshi.

O R D E R (ORAL)


JUSTICE R.R.K. TRIVEDI, V.C.

By this application under Section 19 of the
A.T. Act, 1985, the applicant has challenged the order
dated 27.3.2002 (Annexure-2) by which the representation
of the applicant has been rejected.

2. The applicant was engaged as substitute EDDA
Raipura post office, District Ballia on the risk and
responsibility of one Sri Jai Narain Rai. After Sri Jai
Narain Rai came back and joined his original post, the
engagement of the applicant was terminated. Aggrieved
by which, he filed O.A. no. 1564/2001, which was
disposed of by order dated 4.1.2002 giving a liberty
to the applicant to make a representation to the P.M.G.,
Gorakhpur and with direction to the respondents to

decide the same within a period of two months by a reasoned and speaking order. In pursuance of the order of this Tribunal, the representation of the applicant has been considered in detail and has been rejected. After perusal of the order, we do not find any illegality. The applicant has no right to continue on the said post, as the permanent incumbent come back and joined his original post. If any amount of pay has not been paid to the applicant, the applicant ^{may} claims for the same and if the claim is found due, the same should be paid to the applicant forthwith.

3. With the above directions, the O.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.


MEMBER (A)


V.C.

GIRISH/-