

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ALLAHABAD BENCH, ALLAHABAD.

Allahabad, this the 6th day of September, 2002.

✓ C. C. A No 42 of 2002  
(Original Application No. 154 of 1993)

Hon'ble Mr, Justice R.R.K.Trivedi, Vice Chairman

Hon'ble Maj Gen. K.K.Srivastava, Member (A)

Vidya Shanker Pandey

Son of M.S.Pandey, Resident of

Village Dhanapur (North) Post Office

Gopiganj, District Sant Ravidas Nagar.

...Applicant

Counsel for the applicant: N.L.Srivastava.

Versus

1. Smt. Teenu Joshi, Development  
Commissioner (Handicrafts) West  
Block-7 R.K.Pooram New Delhi.
2. Sri. S.Beththacharya, Regional Director  
(Siyan) B-46(J.Park) Maha Nagar  
Extension Lucknow, the Office of the  
Development Commissioner (Handicrafts)
3. B.D.Chaturvedi, Assistant Director  
(A&C) Service Centre Varanasi,  
D-64/151, A.M-5 Nigam Colony, Sigra  
Varanasi, the office of the Development  
Commissioner Handicrafts.

..... Respondents

Counsel for the respondents: Shri Amit Shhalaker.

O\_R\_D\_E\_R

By Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.R.K.Trivedi, Vice Chairman

This contempt application was filed for  
punishing the respondents alledging disobedience  
of order of this Tribunal dated 3-1-2000<sup>1</sup> passed in  
OA No. 154/93. The direction was given by the Tribunal



as under: as under:

" .....consequently, respondents are directed to allow the applicant to resume his duty without prejudice of any disciplinary action contemplated by the respondents against the applicant. It is further made clear that the applicant shall not be entitled for any back wages."

2. Shri A.Sthalekar has submitted that the direction was to appoint the applicant as Assistant Craftman. However, this post of Assistant Craftman did not exist and Assistant Craftman <sup>were</sup> designated as Assistant Instructor. Consequently, a review application was filed in this Tribunal which was rejected. Then <sup>on</sup> respondents filed ~~an writ~~ petition No. 11344/00 in which direction was given to the respondents to comply with the order and appoint applicant. The order has been complied with and appointment order has been issued in favour of the applicant. A copy of the appointment order dated 8-4-2002 has been filed as Annexure S.C.A. 1. It is also stated that the applicant has joined on 11-4-02. In the circumstances, nothing has been left to be decided in this contempt application as the order has been complied with in pursuance of the direction given by the Hon'ble High Court. The contempt application is accordingly dismissed. Notices are discharged.

No order as to costs.

  
Member (A)

  
Vice Chairman

madhu/