

BY CIRCULATION

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ALLAHABAD BENCH, ALLAHABAD

Review Application No. 17 of 1999

IN

Original Application No. 517 of 1997

Allahabad, this the 2nd day of June, 1999.

Hon'ble Mr.S.K.Agrawal, Member(J)

Union of India & Ors..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Yadav..... Respondents

Counsel for applicant : Km.Sadhna Srivastava


O R D E R (By Circulation)

(By Hon'ble Mr.S.K.Agrawal, Member(J))

By this review application the applicant has made a prayer to review the order of this Tribunal dated 14-7-98 passed in O.A.No.517/97. By the order dated 14-7-98 this Tribunal directed the respondents to consider the applicant for casual employment on compassionate grounds within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order. Respondents by this review application made a prayer to review the order as mentioned above.

2. I perused the averments made in this review application and also perused the order of this Tribunal dated 14-7-98.

3. Section 22(3) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 confers on an Administrative Tribunal discharging its functions under the Act, the same powers as are vested in a civil court under the Code of Civil



Procedure while trying a suite in respect, inter-alia, of reviewing its decisions. Section 22(3) (f) is as follows :

"Section 22(3) (f) :

A Tribunal shall have, for the purpose of discharging its functions under this Act, the same powers as are vested in a civil court under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), while trying a suit, in respect of the following matter, namely

(f) reviewing its decisions;

4. A Civil Court's power to review its own decision under the Code of Civil Procedure is contained in Order 47 Rule 1, Order 47 Rule 1 provides as follows :

"Order 47 Rule 1 :

Application for review of judgement :-

(1) Any person considering himself aggrieved:-

(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,

(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or

(c) by a decision on reference from a court of Small Causes,

and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within this knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record, or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgement to the court which passed the decree or made the order."


5. On the basis of the above preposition of law, it is clear that power of the review available to the Administrative Tribunal is similar to power given to Civil Court under Order 47 Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code,

contd../3p

therefore, any person who consider himself aggrieved by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed but from which no appeal has been preferred, can apply for review under Order 47 Rule 1(1)(a) on the ground that there is an error apparent on the face of the record or from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree or order was passed but it has now come to his knowledge.

6. In the instant case there appears to be ~~no~~ no error apparent on the face of the record or there is no other sufficient reason on the basis of the order of this Tribunal can be reviewed as submitted by the applicant, therefore, there is no reasonable basis to review the impugned order and this review application has no force.

7. On the basis of the above, this review application is dismissed.


MEMBER (J) 2/6/99