

C.C.A. 129/95

in  
O.A. 1599/94

9.8.99

Hon'ble Mr. S. Dayal, A.M.  
Hon'ble Mr. S.K. Agarwal, J.M.

Sri S.N. Srivastava for the applicant.  
Sri A.K. Gaur for the Opp. Parties. Learned  
counsel for the Opp. Parties ~~states~~ states  
that the order has been complied with and  
the letter of sanction has been issued and the  
payment shall be made within a week. We, therefore  
list this case after a week ~~xxx~~ <sup>with</sup> the stipula-  
tion that in case we find that the order  
remains uncomplied the Opp. party shall remain  
present.

List on 19.8.99.

J.M.

  
A.M.

Nafess.

19-8-99

Hon'ble Mr. S. Dayal, A.M.

Hon'ble Mr. SKI Naqvi, J.M.

Sri SN Srivastava, counsel for the  
applicant. ~~Sri~~ Km. Renu Singh, proxy counsel  
to Sri AK Gaur, for the Opp. Parties. Learned  
proxy counsel mentions that the order has been  
fully complied with and the payment made to the  
applicant in this case and that proof of payment  
has been adduced in the IInd CA which was filed  
in the office on 16-8-99. This CA is not on  
record of this case. The office may place the  
same on the record of this case and the case be  
listed on 26-8-99 for orders.

Member (J)

  
Member (A)

Dybe/

COA NO. 129/95  
COA NO. 159/94.

07-2-2000

Hon. Mr. Justice Neelam Sanjiva Reddy, J.C.  
Hon. Mr. S. Biswas A.M.

None for the applicant. Mr.  
Renu Singh Proxy Counsel for  
Sri A.K. Gaur Counsel for  
respondents.

2. Mr. Renu Singh submits  
that Respondents have complied  
with the order of the Tribunal  
in fact and hence requested  
for dismissal of the contempt  
petition and discharge the  
respondents. The same is  
recorded.

3. In view of the above  
submission this contempt  
petition is closed.

4. If the applicant subsequently  
finds that the submission  
is incorrect he is at liberty  
to file application to recall the  
order.



S.A.  
A.M.

24  
V.C.