

Am

Court No. 1.

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ALLAHABAD.

Registration (O.A.) No. 342 of 1988

Ram Sawarea Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 348 of 1988

Ram Swaroop Singh Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 336 of 1988

Avadh Lal Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 347 of 1988

Brij Mohan Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 337 of 1988

Devi Charan Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 338 of 1988

Shiv Poojan Singh Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 346 of 1988

Sunder Lal Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with

Registration (O.A.) No. 340 of 1988

Purshottam Lal Applicant.

Versus

Union of India & another Respondents.

Connected with



gh

Am
2

-: 2 :-

Registration (O.A.) No. 339 of 1988		
Kamlesh Kumar	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 333 of 1988.		
Raja Ram Singh	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 334 of 1988		
Tribhuwan Singh	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 335 of 1988		
Ramesh Kumar	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 332 of 1988.		
Suresh Kumar	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 344 of 1988		
Ranjeet Singh	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 341 of 1988		
Ram Lal	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.
Connected with		
Registration (O.A.) No. 331 of 1988.		
Raj Karan	Applicant.
Versus		
Union of India & another	Respondents.

Hon'ble Justice K. Nath, V.C.
Hon'ble K.J. Raman, A.M.

(By Hon. Justice K. Nath, V.C.)



Dr

Am
3

-: 3 :-

The cases, described above, have been filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, which relate to casual labours, who had ^{been} disengaged from time to time.

Sri A.V. Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, in O.As. No.336/88, 337/88, 333/88, 334/88, 335/88 and 331/88 is present. Sri R.K. Nigam, appearing on behalf of the applicants, has made an application stating that during the pendency of these cases, all these applicants have already been reinstated and, therefore the cases be treated as not pressed. There is a peculiar observation in the application that the statement of reinstatement may be got verified from the respondents. We are not inclined to do so. The applicants ought to know better. The applications are, therefore, dismissed as not pressed.

A copy of this order may be placed in all the connected applications.

St


MEMBER (A).

Sd

VICE-CHAIRMAN.

Dated: April 18, 1990.

PG.



gms
27/4/90

(SHARAD KUMAR)
SECTION OFFICER
Central Administrative Tribunal
Allahabad.