

A3

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ALLAHABAD BENCH.

....

T.A. No. 1883 of 1987
(W.P. No. 14361 of 1985)

Mrs. Leelawati Tejwani ... Petitioner.

Versus

The president of India through
Under Secretary, New Delhi
and others Respondents.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. Nath, V.C.
Hon'ble Mr. K. Chayya, A.M.

(By Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. Nath, V.C.)

Km. Sadhna Srivastava, Additional Standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, again seeks some time to file counter affidavit, which according to her, has been sent to the department for signatures. She, however, says that according to the drafted counter affidavit, the original punishment of dismissal from service was modified in appeal to compulsory retirement of Sri D.C. Tejwani, a deceased employee, now represented by her widow, the applicant.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant says that the applicant accepts the compulsory retirement order and prays on that basis the retiral benefits including pension, gratuity etc, as may be admissible under the rules, may be given to the applicant. Km. Sadhna Srivastava has no reason to disagree with that request. We, therefore, disposed of this petition with a direction to the respondents to settle all the retiral benefits of late Sri D.C. Tejwani and make payment of the admissible benefits to the applicant within 3 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this judgment.

Dt: 19.7.1991
(n.u.)

Member (A)

Vice-Chairman.