



**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
JAMMU BENCH, JAMMU**

Hearing through video conferencing

T.A.62/7622/2020 (SWP.No.82/2016)

This the 16th day of February, 2021

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY, CHAIRMAN
HON'BLE MR. MOHD JAMSHED, MEMBER (A)**

Ghulam Mohammad Bhat, Age 40 years, S/o Mohammad Abdullah
Bhat, R/o Khankah Bagh, Pampore, Pulwama.

.....Applicant

(Advocate:- **Not Present**)

Versus

1. State of J&K through Commissioner/Secretary to Govt. of J&K Public Works Department, Civil Sectt. Srinagar/Jammu.
2. Jammu and Kashmir Projects Construction Corporation Ltd through its Managing Director, Srinagar.
3. Managing Director Jammu and Kashmir Projects Construction Corporation Ltd. Srinagar.
4. Deputy General Manager, Unit 12th JKPCC Ltd. Pulwama
5. Departmental Promotion Committee JKPCC Ltd. Through Managing Director Jammu and Kashmir Project Construction Corporation Ltd. Srinagar.
6. Deputy General Manager (Adm.) JKPCC Ltd. Jammu/Sgr.
7. Ab. Hamid Bhat, S/o Ghulam Nabi Bhat, R/o Parigam Pulwama.

.....Respondents

(Advocate:-Mr. Sudesh Magotra, Deputy Advocate General)

ORDER
[O R A L]

Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman: -

The applicant was working as Assistant Supply Officer (ASO) in Jammu & Kashmir Projects Construction Corporation Limited. It is stated that he was assigned the duty of Assistant Supply Officer in the 12th Unit. Through an order dated 06.01.2016, the Establishment posted one Mr. Abdul Hamid Bhat, the 7th respondent herein, as Supply Officer at 12thUnit. The applicant felt aggrieved by that posting. According to him, whenever an employee was handling the higher post, the practice used to be that it should be through promotion and instead, he was replaced by 7th respondent. He filed SWP No. 82/2016 before the Hon'ble High Court of Jammu and Kashmir, at Srinagar, challenging the order dated 06.01.2016 to the extent that the 7th respondent was posted as Supply Officer at 12thUnit. No interim order was passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

2. The SWP has since been transferred to this Tribunal in view of re-organisation of the State of Jammu and Kashmir and renumbered as TA No.7622/2020.

3. There is no representation for the applicant. We heard Mr. Sudesh Magotra, learned Deputy Advocate General for the respondents.

4. This is not a case in which the applicant was either transferred or he was denied the duties attached to his substantive post. He felt aggrieved by the posting of the 7th respondent as Supply Officer. It is just un-understandable as to what objection the applicant can have, if he is relieved from the additional duties.

5. We do not find any merit in the T.A. and the same is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(MOHD JAMSHED)
MEMBER (A)

(JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY)
CHAIRMAN

Sunil/Jyoti/Dsn