



**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

O.A. No. 1312/2021

M.A. No. 1672/2021

This the 26th Day of July, 2021

(Through Video Conferencing)

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. A.K. Bishnoi, Member (A)**

Sh. Mange Lal
Aged about 65 years
S/o Sh. Hari Singh
C/o Sh. Udit Gupta,
102, Amar Jyoti Kunj,
Mayur Vihar, Phase-I,
Delhi – 110091
(Retired as Notice Server, Group C)

... Applicant

(By Advocate : Shri S.K. Gupta)

Versus

Union of India through

1. Secretary,
Department of Revenue,
Ministry of Finance,
North Block, New Delhi.
2. Chairman,
Central Board of Direct Taxes,
Department of Revenue,
Ministry of Finance,
North Block, New Delhi.
3. Chief Commissioner of Income Tax (CCA),
Income Tax Department,
Income Tax Building,
Civil Lines Kanpur, UP
4. Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax,
Aayakar Bhawan,
Sanjay Palace, Agra

Item No.21



5. Joint Commissioner of Income Tax-2(1),
318, 3rd Floor,
Aayakar Bhawan,
Sanjay Palace, Agra
6. Secretary
Union Public Service Commission
Dholpur House, Shahjahan Road,
New Delhi.

... Respondents

(By Advocates : Shri R.V. Sinha and Shri Manjeet Singh Reen)

O R D E R (ORAL)**Justice L. Narasimha Reddy :**

The applicant retired as Notice Server in the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT). Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him under Rule 9 of the Pension Rules by issuing a charge memo dated 30.03.2016. The inquiry Officer submitted his report on 15.03.2018. The applicant has also submitted his remarks to the report of the Inquiry Officer. The grievance is that the proceedings are not completed and on account of that, his retirement benefits are withheld.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents filed reply stating that the proceedings could not be finalized due to the sad demise of the Disciplinary Authority and that the new incumbent is considering the matter.

Item No.21



3. Today we heard Mr. S.K. Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. R.V. Sinha & Mr. Manjeet Singh Reen, learned counsel for the respondents.

4. The only grievance of the applicant is about the delay in concluding the disciplinary proceedings. It appears that the delay was on account of the sad demise of the disciplinary authority. Now that another incumbent has already taken charge, we direct the disciplinary proceedings be concluded within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The O.A. is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending MA also stands disposed of.

(A.K. Bishnoi)
Member (A)

(Justice L. Narasimha Reddy)
Chairman

/sd/jyoti/vb/akshaya/