

Item No.6



**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**O.A. No. 783/2021**

**This the 2<sup>nd</sup> Day of July, 2021**

(Through Video Conferencing)

**Hon'ble Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman  
Hon'ble Ms. Aradhana Johri, Member (A)**

Sunil Dutt, aged about 59 years,  
ASI, CBI Academy, Ghaziabad (suspended)  
S/o Sh. Budh Singh,  
R/o KI 88, Kavi Nagar, Near Sarvodaya Hospital  
Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh - 201002

... Applicant

(By Advocate : Mr. Manish Gupta with Mr. Neelmani Guha)

**Versus**

Central Bureau of Investigation  
(Through :-  
The Director  
Plot No. 5-B, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,  
Jawaharlal Nehru Stadium Marg,  
New Delhi – 110003)

... Respondent

(By Advocate : Mr. Hanu Bhaskar)

**O R D E R (ORAL)**

**Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman :**

The applicant is working as Assistant Sub Inspector in the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) and by February, 2021, he was attached to CBI Academy, Ghaziabad. Through an order dated 25.02.2021, he was transferred to ACB, Vishakhapatnam. He was also relieved from the post. He made a representation on 27.02.2021 with a prayer to permit him to remain at Ghaziabad citing certain reasons. That was rejected through order

Item No.6



dated 16.03.2021. The applicant filed this OA challenging the order of transfer dated 25.02.2021 and the rejection order dated 16.03.2021.

2. The applicant contends that he figured as an accused in criminal case in FIR No. RC 2172019A0001 and it was only on 02.03.2021, that the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad granted him bail, keeping the constructive custody. It is also stated that he is under suspension at this stage and his transfer under these circumstances would not only be contrary to law, but also would lead to several complications, apart from hardship. The applicant contends that he is due to retire at the end of August, 2021 and the transfer at this stage is not as per settled policy guidelines.

3. We heard Sh. Manish Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Sh. Hanu Bhaskar, learned counsel for the respondents, at the stage of admission.

4. The applicant is transferred from Ghaziabad to Vishakhapatnam. It appears to be an administrative transfer. The reason is that, as of now, the applicant is under suspension and is facing a trial in a criminal case instituted by the CBI itself. The attempt of the CBI appears to be, to keep the applicant away so that, he does not meddle with the investigation or other proceedings. The bail application of the applicant was rejected earlier and it seems that he was granted parole, for a limited period. The Hon'ble High Court delivered the judgment in Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 46551/2020 on 02.03.2021 granting conditional bail. The conditions incorporated in the order do not prohibit the transfer of the applicant to another position. The emphasis was only to require him to co-operate with

Item No.6



the trial and not to meddle with the evidence. The applicant has already been relieved. The respondents have rejected the application for retention.

5. We do not find any merit in the OA and the same is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**(Aradhana Johri)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice L. Narasimha Reddy)**  
**Chairman**

/lg/ns/akshaya/sd