

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
AHMEDABAD BENCH, AHMEDABAD.**

**OA No.323/2021**

**This the 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021.**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri Jayesh V.Bhairavia, Member (J)  
Hon'ble Dr. A.K.Dubey, Member (A)**

Shri Durlabhrai Prabhudas Agravat  
DOB 13.07.1957, Age 64 years  
Son of Shri Prabhudas Jamnadas Agravat  
MTS (Retd.)  
Gondal Head Post Office,  
Gondal – 360 311.

Residing at : Hariyasan – 360 410.  
Taq. Jamkandorna,  
District : Rajkot. .... Applicant

(By Advocate : Shri A.D.Vankar)

Versus

1. Union of India & Others  
Notice to be served through  
The Secretary to the Govt. of India,  
Ministry of Communication & I.T.,  
Department of Posts, Dak Bhavan,  
Sansad Marg, New Delhi – 110 001.
2. Chief Postmaster General,  
Gujarat Circle, Khanpur,  
Ahmedabad – 380 001.
3. Postmaster General,  
Saurashtra and Kachchh Region,  
Rajkot – 360 001.
4. Supdt. Of Post Offices  
Gondal Division  
Gondal - 360 311..... Respondents

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**Per : Hon'ble Shri J.V. Bhairavia, Member (J)**

1. In the present case, it is stated that the applicant from the year 1980 to 2011 had worked in a capacity of the Gramin Dak Sevak in the Respondents Postal Department. Thereafter, in the year 2011, he was selected and appointed as a full time MTS and he was superannuated on 31.07.2017. He submitted representation before the competent authority for grant of benefit of the pension. The said representation of the applicant has been rejected vide impugned order dated 18.05.2021 (Annexure A/1) on the ground that as per the provisions of CCS(CCA) Rules, 1972, the benefits of the pension is available only to permanent civilian employee who borne in service on or before 31.12.2003, accordingly, the service of the applicant is not considered as pensionable.
2. Aggrieved by it, the applicant has filed the present OA. Counsel for the applicant mainly relied upon the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in Writ Petition No.11679/2011 (S-CAT) decided on 07.04.2015 and submits that the benefits of pension was extended to the petitioner/ applicant therein. The said order is also applicable in the present case and the applicants are entitled for the pension.
3. Heard Shri A.D.Vankar, counsel for the applicant and perused the material on record. Undisputedly, the applicant herein, borne in service only as MTS in the Postal Department on 05.07.2011. Provisions of the CCS (Pension) Rules of 1972 are applicable to those permanent Central Government servants including civilian Government servants in the defence services borne on pensionable

establishment, **who are appointed on or before 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2003.** The judgment relied upon by the counsel for the applicant is not applicable in the facts and circumstances of the present case, for the reason that the applicant/petitioner therein was conferred with a permanent status with effect from 29.11.1989 vide order dated 26.07.1994, and was given certain benefits which includes pension and retirement benefits after his regularization. Here the facts are completely different. As noted herein above the applicant was borne in service as MTS only in the year 2011 and not on or before 31<sup>st</sup> December 2003. Therefore, we do not find any infirmities in the reason assigned by respondent while rejecting the representation of the applicant vide impugned order dated 18.05.2021. Hence OA fails. Accordingly, the same is dismissed at admission stage. No order is to cost.

**(A.K.Dubey)**  
**Member (A)**

**(J.V.Bhairavia)**  
**Member (J)**

nk