

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ALLAHABAD BENCH
ALLAHABAD

Civil Contempt Application 106 of 2004
in
Original Application No. 544 of 1995

Dated: This the 29th day of November, 2004

HON'BLE MRS. MEERA CHHIBBER, MEMBER-(J)
HON'BLE MRS. ROLI SRIVASTAVA, MEMBER-(A)

Smt. Kamaljeet Kaur @ Kuldeep Kaur,
W/o late Sri Raghbir Singh,
R/o 249/2 Babupurwa Colony, Kanpur
Nagar, District Kanpur Nagar.

....Applicant.

(By Adv. : Shri Vined Kumar)

Versus

1. Sri Prakash, Divisional Railway Manager,
Northern Railway, Allahabad (New North
Central Railway, Allahabad).
2. Sri R.N.Sagar, Station Master, North Central
Railway, Kanpur.

....Respondents.

(By Adv. : Shri A.K.Gaur)

O R D E R

By Hon'ble Mrs. Meera Chhibber, J.M.


This Contempt Application was filed by the applicant alleging disobedience of the order dated 9th February, 1996 passed in O.A.No. 544 of 1995. ^{The} ~~an~~ order dated 09.02.1996 was stayed by this Tribunal in Review Application No. 75 of 1996. ^{but subsequently} The said Review Application was dismissed in default ² for non-prosecution on 15.7.2003. Applicant, had, thus, submitted that she is entitled to get the benefits as

[Signature]

5. Counsel for the applicant submitted that respondents have only issued the letter and the order but he ^{is} ~~has~~ not ~~assured~~ whether the payments have been made to the applicant so far or not.

6. Once the order and letter is issued for giving certain benefits to the applicant, we are sure due payments would also be made to the applicant, therefore, we see no justification to retain this Contempt Petition any further. The Contempt Petition is, therefore, dismissed and notices, issued to the respondents, are discharged. However, in case the amounts as mentioned above are not given to the applicant for any reason, it will be open to her to revive this Contempt Petition by filing a Misc. Application. In case some payments are still found to be not paid to the applicant, it will be open to the applicant to give representation to the Authorities concerned for that purpose. and in case such a representation ^{is} ~~is~~ given, we are sure that, respondents would decide the same by passing a speaking order within a reasonable period not later than 3 months in any case.

Defending


Member-A


Member-J

Brijesh/-