

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

ALLAHABAD BENCH

THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2002

Original Application No.1258 of 2001

CORAM:

HON.MR.JUSTICE R.R.K.TRIVEDI,V.C.

HON.MAJ.GEN.K.K.SRIVASTAVA, MEMBER(A)

Km.Kusum parashar,  
Daughter of Shri Subhash Chandra  
Parashar, R/o Village Khakshees  
Pargana-Konch, district Jalaun

... Applicant

(By Adv: Shri V.K.Gupta)

Versus

1. The Union of India through  
its Secretary, Postal &  
Telecommunication,  
New Delhi.
2. Post Master General  
Agra.
3. Senior Supdt.of Post Offices  
Jhansi Division, Jhansi.

... Respondents

(By Adv: Shri R.C.Joshi)

O R D E R(Oral)

JUSTICE R.R.K.TRIVEDI,V.C

By this application u/s 19 of A.T.Act 1985 the applicant has prayed that the order dated 31.8.01 filed as (Annexure A-1) be quashed and respondent no.3 may be directed to consider the mark-sheet of 'poorva Madhyama' for appointment of the applicant as E.D.B.P.M, Salabad district Jalaun. Before filing this application applicant filed OA No.825/2000 which was disposed of finally by order dated 17.7.01 by the following order:

"Considering the facts and circumstances,  
this OA is disposed of finally with the  
liberty to the applicant to file a representation  
before Senior Superintendent of Post

...p2

:: 2 ::

Offices(respondent no.2) for the  
prayer made in this O.A. The representation  
if so filed, it shall be considered and  
decided by reasoned order within a month  
from the date a copy of this order is filed  
before him."

In pursuance of the above order impugned order (Annexure A1)  
has been filed. It appears that for submitting application alongwith  
necessary documents last date was 7.9.2000. The applicant failed to  
file marksheet for Madhyama examination within the above date. The  
marksheet<sup>work</sup> filed alongwith the copy of the representation filed in  
pursuance of the order of this Tribunal. The representation has been  
rejected on the ground that as the document was not filed within the  
time allowed for all the candidates by general notice, it could not  
be accepted after the cut off date. In our opinion, the order is  
justified in the facts and circumstances and calls for no  
interference by this Tribunal. Otherwise also applicant has not been  
able to give any explanation why the document could not be filed  
alongwith the application before the last date already notified. The  
learned counsel could not place before us any material in this  
regard. The application has no merit and is accordingly rejected.  
No order as to costs.

  
MEMBER(A)

  
VICE CHAIRMAN

Dated: 08.1.2002

Uv/