

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ALLAHABAD BENCH
ALLAHABAD

Original Application No. 1357 of 1998

Allahabad this the 26th day of April, ~~May,~~ 2002

Hon'ble Mr.C.S. Chadha, Member (A)
Hon'ble Mr.A.K. Bhatnagar, Member (J)

Virendra Kumar, aged about 27 years, Son of Sri Jai
Ram Village and Post Palhepur, District-Kanpur Nagar.

Applicant

By Advocates Shri Vijai Bahaḍur
Shri B.N. Singh

Versus

1. Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of
Communication, New Delhi.
2. Senior Superintendent Post Office, Kanpur.
3. Sub Divisional Inspector of Post Office, Kanpur.
4. Employment Officer, Employment Exchange, Kanpur.
5. Bileep Kumar S/o Chandrapal Singh, R/o Village
and Post Palehpur, Distt.Kanpur Nagar.

Respondents

By Advocate Shri S.C. Tripathi,
Shri A. Tripathi

O R D E R (Oral)

By Hon'ble Mr.C.S. Chadha, Member (A)

By this application the applicant has
sought the relief of being considered for the post
of Extra Departmental Branch Post Master, Palehpur,
Distt.Kanpur Nagar because he belongs to the S.C.....pg.2

[Signature]

community whereas the respondents were considering an O.B.C. candidate on the ground that the Employment Exchange did not forward any name of S.C. candidate. Next relief claimed is that the respondents should consider only the names of S.C. candidates for the said post.


2. The facts of the case are that the respondents called for names of S.C. candidates for the said post from the Employment Exchange. The requisition mentioned that if no S.C. candidates were available, they may sponsor O.B.C. candidates. It is alleged by the applicant that despite his name being registered in the Employment Exchange, his name was not forwarded and only O.B.C. candidates were forwarded for consideration. Further he has claimed that he came to know about this mischief late & on 26.10.1998 vide annexure A-4 he wrote to the Senior Superintendent of Post Offices, Kanpur that he is a candidate belonging to S.C. community and possesses all the requisite qualifications and therefore his name should be considered.

3. In their counter-reply in para-21 thereof the respondents have averred that there is no dispute that the applicant applied for the post but the application was on a plain paper without any accompanying certificate regarding his age, educational qualification, ~~and~~ residence, caste and income sources, which were all necessary, therefore, they did not feel ^{it} necessary to consider the application.

St George

4. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that since he ~~is~~ (the applicant) belongs to ~~the~~ S.C. community it was the duty of the respondents to invite him to file his application. We cannot agree with this contention because his application dated 26.10.1998 was not accompanied by any proof ^{any of} of ~~xxx~~ the required documents. If his application were to be accompanied with the required certificates, then certainly it was incumbent upon the respondents to call him. Non-consideration of his claim is due to his own fault. Therefore, we find no merit in the case.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant, has, however, pleaded that since the selection process has not been completed, his application may be considered for the said post. We would have no objection to this provided in response to any notification for the said post he made an application before the appointed date with all certificates. If this is not a case, he is not entitled to any relief. The O.A. is, therefore, ~~is~~ disposed of with the above observations. No order as to costs.


Member (J)


Member (A)

/M.M./

26/04/02

Hon.Mr.C.S. Chadha, A.M.
Hon.Mr.A.K. Bhatnagar, J.M.

S/Shri Vijai Bahadur and B.N. Singh for
the applicant. Shri S.K. Pandey brief holder
to Shri S.C. Tripathi ,c-ounsel for the official
respondents. Shri M.K. Upadhyay brief holder to
Shri A. Tripathi, counsel for the private
respondents.

Arguments heard.

Order dicatated separately.


J.M.


A.M.

/m.m./