

5

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI.

Regn.No.OA 1087/93

Date of decision:23.9.1993

CPWD NON-GAZETTED
OFFICE STAFF ASSOCIATION through
its General Secretary &.anr. .. Petitioners

vs.

Union of India through
Secretary,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi & ors. ... Respondents

For the petitioners ..Sh.G.K.Aggarwal,counsel

For the respondents ..Sh.J.C.Madan,counsel.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.K. DHAON, VICE CHAIRMAN
THE HON'BLE MR.B.N.DHOUNDIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

JUDGMENT (ORAL)

(of the Bench delivered by Hon'ble Mr.
Justice S.K. Dhaon, Vice-Chairman(J))

The complaint of the CPWD Non-Gazetted Office Staff Association through its General Secretary is that the Union of India through its Secretary in the Ministry of Urban Development is not taking any steps to consider and pass any suitable orders upon the reports submitted by the working groups for Cadre-Review of Group-C Office Staff in Circle/Division/Sub-Division offices and Group -D Office Staff in those offices, in 1987.

2. It appears to us from a perusal of Annexure-4 to the OA that a decision had been taken by the appropriate authority with respect of Group -C to the effect that the recommendations of the committee are not accepted. However, the learned counsel for the petitioners

2

urges that such a decision has not been taken. Be that as it may, the authority concerned will pass a final order within a period of 4 weeks from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order by any of the petitioners before it, either accepting or rejecting the recommendations made by the committee both in regard to Group -C & Group D .

3. With these directions, the OA is disposed of finally. There shall be no order as to costs.

B.N. Dhoundiyal
(B.N. DHOUNDIYAL)
MEMBER (A)

S.K. Dhaon
(S.K. DHAON)
VICE-CHAIRMAN

SNS

Date	Office Report	Orders
		<p>D.23 26.11.93</p> <p>M.A. 3489/93 O.A. 1087/93</p> <p>Present : Proxy Counsel for Mrs Rani Chabra, Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List the matter after one week, i.e. on <u>7th Dec 93</u></p> <p>(B.K. SINGH) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>(J.P. SHARMA) MEMBER (J)</p> <p>SSS</p>

7.12.93
A-18
MA 3489/93
OA 1087/93

Present: Shri G.K. Aggarwal counsel for the
applicant.
Shri Ashish Kalia proxy counsel for
Shri J.C. Madan, counsel for the
respondents.

M.A. No.3489/93 has been filed by the
respondents that six months time be given for
implementing the judgment dated 23rd September, 1993.
The name of the counsel for the applicant has been
wrongly shown in the list. Shri G.K. Aggarwal appears
for the applicant and states that when the matter came
earlier on 26th November 1993, at that time this MA was
also taken for consideration and he did not oppose giving
of three months time for implementing the judgment.
Further the order sheet of that date shows that the
matter be listed today (7.12.93). However, since the
matter is being considered again, we order that the
judgment be implemented within three months from today.
No further time will be granted. The M.A. is disposed
of accordingly.

P.T. Thiruvengadam)

M (A)

(J.P. Sharma)

M(J)

910