

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI.

7

O.A.NO. 636/92

Date of Decision : 01.07.1992.

LT.COL.(MRS.) TARSEM LATA MEHTA APPLICANT

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. RESPONDENTS

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. T.S. OBEROI, MEMBER(J)

THE HON'BLE MR. I.K. RASGOTRA, MEMBER(A)

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT : MS. SURUCHII AGGARWAL


COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS : MRS. RAJ KUMARI CHOPRA

JUDGEMENT (ORAL)

None for the applicant, when the case came up
for hearing, today.

The learned counsel for the respondents placed
on record, a copy of the judgement passed by Hon'ble
Supreme Court on 14.1.1992, according to which the
retirement age of NCC officers has been held to be fifty-
five years. The learned counsel for the respondents stated
that, presumably, because of the same reason, nobody is
appearing on behalf of the applicant.

In view of the above position, the present
application is not maintainable and hence dismissed.


(I.K. RASGOTRA)
MEMBER(A)


(T.S. OBEROI)
MEMBER(J)