

2A

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH

R.A.No.239/97

IN

DA No.1977 /92

New Delhi: this the ¹⁶ October, 1997.

HON'BLE MR.S.R.ADIGE VICE CHAIRMAN (A).

HON'BLE DR.A.VEDAVALLI, MEMBER(J)

1. Ashish Kumar Kar,
2. Sib Nath Dhara.
3. Bajranglal Sharma

C/o Shri G.K. Agarwal, Advocate,
G- 82, Ashok Vihar-I,
Delhi - 52

Review Applicants.

Versus

1. Union of India through
Secretary,
Ministry of Urban Affairs
& Employment, Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi - 11

2. Union Public Service Commission
through its Secretary,
Shahjehan Road,
New Delhi - 11

..... Respondents.

ORDER(BY CIRCULATION)

BY HON'BLE MR.S.R.ADIGE VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

Perused the RA.

2. There is no error apparent in para 4 of the impugned judgment. What was stated in para 4 was that applicants had nowhere categorically asserted that any merit list/ selection panel of these Civil and Electrical Engineers had been prepared and even in the RA there is no categorical assertion that any such merit list / selection panel had been prepared.

3. The example of the 1987-88 vacancies being filled up on the basis of 1982 and 1983

A

Exam. results does not constitute a binding precedent because it has been noticed in para 6 of the judgment that the same was done in the background of the special circumstances of the case, after obtaining one time relaxation of recruitment rules.

4. RA is rejected.

A. Vedavalli
(DR. A. VEDAVALLI)
MEMBER(J)

S. R. Adige
(S. R. ADIGE)
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

/ug/