

23

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH
New Delhi

CP No. 394/2000 IN
O.A. No. 2834/1992

New Delhi, this 19th day of the December, 2000

HON'BLE MR. V.K. MAJOTRA, MEMBER (A)
HON'BLE MR. SHANKER RAJU, MEMBER (J)

1. Shri Vijendra Kumar,
Draughtsman Gr.II,
Office of the Executive Engineer (E)
Shri Nagar Central Electrical Divn.
CPWD, Jammu.
2. Shri Kuldip Singh Solanki,
Draughtsman (E) Gr.II
Office of the Executive Engineer (E)
Electrical Divn. VIII
Vidyut Bhawan, CPWD, New Delhi.
3. Shri Ajay Kumar,
Draughtsman Gr.II,
Office of the Executive Engineer (E)
Shimla Central Electrical Divn.,
CPWC, Shimla.
4. Shri Ravi Kumar,
Draughtsman Gr.II (E),
Office of the Executive Engineer (E)
Player Building Project, I.P. Bhawan,
New Delhi.

All are employees under the administrative control
superintending Engineer (E), Coordination Circle (E)
C.P.W.D., I.P. Bhawan, New Delhi.

... PETITIONERS

(By Advocate : Shri D.R. Gupta)

VERSUS

1. Shri N. Krishnameoorthi
Director General of Works,
CPWD, Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi-110 001.
2. Shri Maendra Kumar
Deputy Director of Administration-I,
CPWD, Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi-110 001.

... RESPONDENTS

(By Advocate: Shri D.S. Mahendru)

10

ORDER (ORAL)

By V.K. MAJOTRA, MEMBER (A):

Whereas Shri Krishnamoorthi, Director General of Works, CPWD, Respondent No.1 has come personally in the Court in compliance of the direction of the Court dated 13.12.2000, Shri Mahendru, learned counsel of the respondents, has explained the absence of Respondent No.2, Shri Mahender Kumar, Deputy Director of Administration-I, CPWD, stating that he is on sick leave. Shri Mahendru has filed compliance affidavit of Respondent No. 1, enclosing a copy of Office Order dated 14.12.2000 in pursuance of the order dated 15.12.1997 passed in OA 2834/1992 whereby promotion of the applicants made on 31.12.1998, were made effective from 1.11.1991. The respective controlling officers of the applicants have also been ordered to fix the pay of the applicants and accorded consequential benefits to them. Shri D.R. Gupta, learned counsel of the applicants is satisfied with the order dated 14.12.2000. However, he has prayed that a time limit should be fixed for grant of consequential benefits to the applicants.

2. Shri Krishnamoorthi, Respondent No.1 has also tendered his unconditional apology for himself as well as on behalf of Respondent No. 2 for the delayed action in complying with to the order dated 15.12.1997 of the Tribunal.

h

3. . In our view, as vide order dated 14.12.2000 the respondents have ^{accorded} substantiated relief to the applicants, the respondents are now directed to accord consequential benefits to the applicants within a period of two months of communication of this order. Contempt notices issued against the respondents are discharged. The CP is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

S. Raju
(SHANKER RAJU)
MEMBER (J)

(V.K. MAJOTRA)
(MEMBER (A))

/ravi/