

2Spl.
OA-2007/92

14.01.93

ORDER(ORAL)

(delivered by Hon'ble Sh. P.C. Jain, Member(A).
Present : Sh. S.L. Lakhanpal, counsel for the applicant.

Sh. Janak Singh, TOA, departmental representative
on behalf of the respondents.

Respondents have not filed their reply despite time having been allowed to them more than once. Accordingly, we have heard the learned counsel for the applicant on admission.

The grievance of the applicant in this O.A. is about non-consideration and non-appointment of SC officers to the post of Sr.Hindi Operator in May,1989. He immediately made a representation on 31.8.1989 but did not receive any reply. He could have waited for ⁶~~six~~ months from the date of representation and in case no reply was received by him, he should have filed the O.A. within a period of further one year. He instead of doing that, ⁶~~he~~ went on making repeated representations. It is well settled that repeated representations do not extend the limitation as held by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of S.S. Rathore Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh reported in AIR 1990 SC P.10. The O.A. is, therefore, barred by limitation and the same is rejected as such.

Je
(J.P. SHARMA)
MEMBER(J)

Uca
(P.C. JAIN)
MEMBER(A)