

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

O.A. NO.1837/92

HON'BLE JUSTICE CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR, CHAIRMAN  
HON'BLE SHRI R.K. AHOOJA, MEMBER (A)

New Delhi, this 31st day of October, 1996

1. R.K. Chaturvedi  
s/o Sh. K.N. Chaturvedi  
Age 30 years H.S. Fitter
2. K. Bhandari, s/o Jagan Bhandari  
Age 38 years  
Material Chaser
3. Tota Ram Sharma  
s/o DD Sharma  
Age 37 years, Wireman
4. Pyare Lal, s/o Sh. Navrang Singh  
Age 33 years, Lineman
5. Kashinath Raoy  
s/o Shri SP Ray  
Age 38 years,  
Material Chaser
6. Ramesh Kumar  
s/o Sh. Gobardhan Singh  
Age 32 years  
Khalassi
7. Kishan Pal Singh  
s/o Shri H. Singh  
Age 32 years  
B.T. Lineman
8. N.R. Das, s/o Sh. S.R. Das  
Age 33 years  
Khalassi
9. Pramod Kumar  
s/o Sh. Ram D. Upadhyay  
Age 29 years  
Khalassi
10. Rajab Khan, s/o Nizam Khan  
Age 32 years  
Tower Wagon Driver
11. Hari Prasad, s/o Ram Singh  
Age 32 years  
Khalassi



12. Ramesh, s/o Sh. Babu Lal  
Age 33 years  
Khalassi
13. Chiragji Lal, s/o Sh. Gyadin  
Age 29 years  
Khalassi
14. Kailash Chand,  
s/o Sh. Prakash Chand  
Age 30 years  
Khalassi

..... Applicants

Divisional Electrical Engineer  
Durg-Nagpur Railway Electrification  
Project, Bilaspur, M.P.

... Applicants

(by Shri K.N.R. Pillai, Advocate)

VS.

1. Union of India  
through the Secretary  
Ministry of Railways  
(Railway Board)  
NEW DELHI.
2. The General Manager  
Central Railway  
Bombay VT.
3. The General Manager  
South Eastern Railway  
Calcutta
4. The Divisional Railway Manager  
Central Railway  
Jhansi, M.P.
5. The Divisional Railway Manager,  
South Eastern Railway  
Nagpur
6. The Chief Project Manager  
Durg Nagpur Railway Electrification  
Project, Bilaspur,  
M.P.

.... Respondents

(by Sh. H.K. Gangwani, Advocate)

.... 3/-



7

ORDER

CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR (J), CHAIRMAN

Applicants seek a direction to Respondents to screen them for absorption in Skilled Grades in the 25% quota in terms of paragraph 2007(3) of the Indian Railway Establishment Manual. Respondents submit that they propose to screen qualified persons, but that screening has not been made due to the pendency of this Original Application. The case of Applicants may be considered for screening as proposed by the Railways. If the Applicants are aggrieved by the outcome of the screening, they may resort to such remedies as may be available to them under law. The Application is disposed of. No costs.

New Delhi, dated the 31st day of October, 1996.

*R. K. Ahooja*  
(R.K. AHOOJA)  
Member (A)

*Chettur Sankaran Nair*  
(CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR (J))  
Chairman

/avi/