

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

O.A.No.209 /2004

Wednesday, this the 10th day of November 2004

Hon'ble Shri Justice V. S. Aggarwal, Chairman
Hon'ble Shri S. K. Naik, Member (A)

Ms. Poonam Kharbanda
B-7, Shopping Complex
Shankar Garden, New Delhi-8

..Applicant

(Applicant in person)

Versus

1. Union of India through Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
North Block, New Delhi
2. Dr. M.S.Rao, Director
Directorate of Forensic Sciences,
Govt. of India
Ministry of Home Affairs
Block No.11, 3/4th Floor
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road
New Delhi-3
3. Shri Amar Singh
Govt. Examiner of Questioned Documents
Now Directorate Forensic Sciences
(Formerly Bureau of Police Research & Development)
Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs
Railway Board Building
Shimla-171003
4. Shri N.C.Sood
Deputy Government Examiner of Questioned
Documents, Directorate of Forensic Sciences
(Formerly Bureau of Police Research & Development)
Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs
Railway Board Building
Shimla-171003
5. Shri I.K. Arora
Deputy Government Examiner of Questioned
Documents, Directorate of Forensic Sciences
(Formerly Bureau of Police Research & Development)
Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs
CFI Complex, Sector 36 A,
Chandigarh
6. Ms. Punita Bhardwaj
Additional Superintendent of Police
Mall Road, Shimla (HP)

(2)

7. Shri B.N.S. Negi, IPS, Principal
Central Detective Training School
CFI Complex, Sector 36A
Chandigarh
8. Assistant Registrar (Law)
National Human Rights Commission
(Law Division)
Sardar Patel Bhawan
Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-1

.. Respondents

(By Advocate: Shri Pravinder Chauhan)

ORDER (ORAL)


Justice V.S. Aggarwal


On 27.1.2004 when this application came up for hearing, learned counsel for applicant had stated that he would like to file a separate application for quashing of the relieving order of 3.9.2003. This Tribunal specifically noted that the present petition pertained to the complaint made by the applicant pertaining to gender harassment and that the inquiry could not be conducted by a male officer.

2. Respondents' learned counsel concedes this fact and has stated that during the pendency of the present petition, they have appointed Dr. (Mrs.) Sukhminder Kaur as the Chairperson of the inquiry committee with three members associating one person from the Non-Governmental Organization (NGO). Learned counsel for respondents has given a copy of the same to the applicant, who appears in person.

3. Keeping in view these facts, the applicant, if so advised, may challenge the report that has now been given and take recourse under the law

4. So far as the present application is concerned, no further order is required to be passed and, therefore, in face of the aforesaid, with right to the applicant to challenge the inquiry report that has now been given by the subsequent committee, which has been appointed, the petition is disposed of.


(S. K. Naik)
Member (A)


(V. S. Aggarwal)
Chairman

/sunil/