

3

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI.

Regn.No. OA 2946/91

Date of decision: 25.05.92.

Shri Rajender Kumar

Applicant

Vs.

Union of India

Respondents

For the Applicant

Shri S.C. Luthra,
Counsel

For the Respondents

Shri Sarwan Singh,
SI on behalf of
the respondents.

CORAM:

The Hon'ble Mr. P.K. KARTHA, VICECHAIRMAN(J)

The Hon'ble Mr. I.K. RASGOTRA, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment? *Yes*
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? *No*

JUDGMENT (ORAL)

(of the Bench delivered by Hon'ble Shri P.K. Kartha,
Vice Chairman(J))

We have heard the learned counsel of the applicant and the representative of the respondents. The learned counsel for the applicant states at the Bar that the applicant has received the necessary reliefs from the respondents and he does not wish to pursue the present application. The application has, therefore, become infructuous and it is dismissed as having ^{been} withdrawn.

There will be no order as to costs.

I.K. Rasgotra
(I.K. RASGOTRA)

P.K. Kartha
(P.K. KARTHA)