

(16)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH NEW DELHI

O.A. No. 3129/92
MA-3415/94

O.A. No. 2739/91
M.A. No. 3895/94

New Delhi, dated the 14th December, 1994.

GORAM

Hon'ble Shri N.V. Krishnan, Vice Chairman (A)
Hon'ble Smt. Lakshmi Swaminatha, Member (J)

OA No. 3129/92

Shri Sumer Singh s/o Sh. Nand Lal
R/o H. Bleck H. No. 270,
Sulatan Puri, Delhi

... Applicant

(By Advocate Shri M.K. Gaur, proxy
counsel for Shri V.P. Sharma)

V/s

1. Union of India through the Genl. Manager,
Northern Railway, Baroda House,
New Delhi.
2. The Divisional Rly Manager,
Northern Railway, Bikaner.
3. The Assistant Engineer,
Northern Railway, Sirsa (Haryana)

... Respondents

(By Advocate Shri A.K. Singh, proxy counsel
for Shri Romesh Gautam)

OA No. 2739/91

1. Shri Indraj s/o Shri Samshu
 2. Shri Banwari " Shri Ruda
 3. Shri Ram Kumar Shri Dhanda
 4. Shri Metadin " Shri Omkar
 5. Shri Rat Ram " Shri Ram Swarup
 6. Shri Sant Ram " Shri Deega Ram
- Q

- (17)
7. Shri Nahd S/o Shri Binja Nath
 8. Shri Amar Singh s/o Sh. Kalyan Sahai
 9. Shri Ramji Lal s/o Shri Bhore Lal
 10. Shri Ramesh s/o Shri Ram Swarup
 11. Shri Sohan Lal s/o Shri Bhura Ram
 12. Shri Ganpat s/o Shri Hanuman
 13. Shri Rameshwar s/o Shri Ballu
 14. Shri Sona s/o Shri Mala

... Applicants

All were working as C/Labourers Gangmen under
1-4 P.W.I.(Copper) Dabala Nagar, Project work.
5-7 P.W.I.(Copper) Khetri Nagar, Project work
8-10 Asstt.Engineer, Bandikui
11-14 : Assistant Engineer, Fulera.

(By Advocate Shri M.K.Gaur, proxy counsel
for Shri V.P.Sharma counsel for the
applicant)

Versus

1. Union of India through the Genl.Manager
Western Railway, Churchgate, Bombay.
2. The Divisional Rly.Manager,
Western Railway, Jaipur.
3. The Assistant Engineer, Western Railway,
Bandikui.
4. The Assistant Engineer, Western Railway,
Ambala.

... Respondents

(By Advocate Shri A.K.Singh, proxy counsel
for Shri Romesh Gautam, counsel for the
respondents)

ORDER (ORAL)

(Hon'ble Shri N.V. Krishnan, Vice Chairman(A))

When the matter came up today, learned
counsel for the parties agreed that these OAs can be
disposed of on the basis of the order in the OA No.2441/91
decided on 26-5-1994. Accordingly these OAs are being
disposed of.

u

2. These OAs are being disposed of by this common order with the consent of the parties.

3. The grievance of the applicants are similar. They had been engaged as casual labourers by the Railways for some time. Thereafter the Railways discontinued the engagement. The applicants have prayed that railway should be directed to consider the applicants for regularisation of their services in preference to the junior and they should be further directed to engage the applicants in preference to juniors in case of need for such engagement has arisen before regularisation.

4. A similar matter Net Ram and others V/s Genl. Manager (WR) (OA No.2441/91) was decided on 26-5-1994. The applicants have filed MAs in both these OAs requesting that the OA may also be disposed of on this line. That prayer is not opposed.

5. In view of this submission, we are of the view that these OAs now be disposed of with similar directions as in the earlier case of Net Ram & Others (Supra)

6. Accordingly, these OAs are ^{disposed} ~~directed~~ of with a direction to the respondents to include the names of the applicant in the Live Casual Labour Register if they are eligible for such inclusion in terms of the circular No.220E/190-XIX-A/RIV dated 28-8-87 of the General Manager, Northern Railway (referred to in Net Ram's judgement) and give engagement to the applicants

u

19

as casual labourers if and when the need arises, in accordance with their seniority in that Register. It is made clear that in order to enable the respondents to take such action, the applicants ^{should} submit representations to the competent authority, within one month from the date of receipt of this order, along with proof relating to the claim that they are entitled to be included in the Live Casual Labour Register. ^a In case such representations are received, the respondents are directed to dispose them of, in accordance with law, within a further period of three months thereafter under intimation to the applicants.

7. Applicants have filed MAs for disposal of this OA in accordance with the judgment of Net Ram's case and thus the same have become infructuous and stand disposed of accordingly.

8. Original order should be kept in OA No. 3129/92. and copy thereof be kept in other OA.

9. The respondents' counsel is entitled to fee in each case separately.

(Lakshmi Swaminathan)
Member (J)

✓ 2.12.94
(N.V. Krishnan)
Vice Chairman (A)

sk

True Copy
Marked
M-1
2/12/94

PRITAM SINGH
Chief Officer
Administration
Labour
Plot House, New Delhi