

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH  
DELHI.

MP No.2689/1990

in

DA No.2280/1990.


November 9, 1990.

Shri Chotu Lal

Vs. U.O.I. & Ors.

Shri A.K.Bhardwaj, proxy counsel for Shri V.P.Sharma, counsel for the petitioner is present.

This M.P. under Section 25 of the Act is for retention of the O.A. before the Principal Bench. I have perused the paragraph 1 of the O.A. which seeks to challenge the order dated 10.7.1990 passed by respondent No.3 by which the respondent engaged the junior persons than the applicant. This order is said to be illegal, unjust, against the principle of 'Last come first go'. However, on a perusal of the O.A. it does not appear that persons engaged by the respondents have been made parties. Consequently, this the prayer in M.P. for retention of the O.A. before the Principal Bench cannot be entertained at this stage. Let the O.A. be amended suitably. Applicant is granted 10 days time to amend the O.A. List before the Chairman after the O.A. is amended.

  
(AMITAV BANERJI)  
CHAIRMAN  
9.11.1990.

SKS

MP 377/91

1.2.91

Adjourned to 8.2.91

B.O.  
  
C.O.

Date	Office Report	Orders
3.2.1991	<p data-bbox="500 1141 558 1174">SKS</p> <p data-bbox="212 1200 602 1340"><i>Amended application not filed</i></p> <p data-bbox="537 1753 596 1786">SRD</p>	<p data-bbox="659 359 831 402"><u>MP 377/91</u></p> <p data-bbox="756 435 1588 517">Shri A.K.Bhardwaj, proxy counsel for Shri V.P.Sharma, counsel for the applicant.</p> <p data-bbox="664 550 1593 927">There is no compliance to the order dated 9.11.1991. The amendment made by this M.P. does not comply with the order passed on 9.11.1990. Learned counsel for the applicant may amend it and file a further amendment within one month. List on 22.3.1991.</p> <p data-bbox="1097 996 1398 1103">(Amitav Banerji) Chairman 8.2.1991.</p> <p data-bbox="634 1149 1040 1187"><u>22.3.91</u>      <u>MP 2689/90</u></p> <p data-bbox="691 1230 1536 1268">Petitioner through counsel Shri V.P. Sharma.</p> <p data-bbox="691 1314 1555 1615">Shri Sharma states that he does not seek to press the M.P. and, therefore, withdraws the same. M.P. is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. The O.A. may be returned to Shri V.P. Sharma, Counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p data-bbox="1138 1740 1455 1847">(AMITAV BANERJI) CHAIRMAN 22.3.1991.</p>