

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH NEW DELHI

RA 11/91
CA 658/89

Shri S.K. Virmani has filed a review application against the order/judgement dated 7-12-90 Made by a bench of this Tribunal comprising of the following:-

- (1) Hon. Mr. S.P. Mukerji Vice Chairman....
(2) Hon. Mr. G. Sreedharan Nair Vice Chairman....

In terms of Rule 17 (II) A review petition should ordinarily be heard by the same Bench which has passed the order, unless, for reasons to be recorded in writing, the Chairman may direct it to be heard by any other Bench. Further, in terms of Rule 17 (III) unless ordered otherwise by the Bench concerned, a review petition shall be disposed of by circulation where the Bench may either dismiss the petition or direct notice to be issued to the opposite party.

Since Shri S.P. Mukerji & Sh. G. Sreedharan Nair both one of the Members of the aforesaid Bench has since retired/is not available at Delhi, It is for consideration whether the same may be disposed of by the same Bench or by another Bench consisting of Members from the principal Bench.

In case, it is decided that the review petition is to be disposed of by the same Bench, then it is suggested that it may be disposed of by circulation by sending a copy of the same.

For orders on "X" above.

D.B.(5)

HON'BLE CHAIRMAN

ds/ab
9.1.91

Wd/ty
8/1

Th
P/1

By Circulation
all
10/1/91

ds
11.1.91

SO(F)

RA - 11/91 CA - 658/89

The Review Application does not address any 'error apparent on face of record' but goes into the merits of the decision of the Tribunal by quoting rulings and alleging new facts and arguments. This cannot be allowed in review. The proper remedy lies in appeal. The R.A. of Mr. Virmani can be rejected by circulation. 12/1/25.1.91.
Hon'ble Shri G. Sreedharan Nair (V.C.)

15/
No sufficient ground is
made out for review of
the order. The petition
is rejected.

Curry

12.2.91.

G. Sreedharan MSc.

Vice. Chairman.