

26

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH

CP No.118/95 in OA-690/89

New Delhi, this 30th day of May, 1995

Hon'ble Shri Justice S.C. Mathur, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri P.T.Thiruvengadam, Member (A)

Shri Sunder Das

s/o late Shri Daulat Ram

J-57, Hari Nagar, New Delhi

Applicant

(in person)

versus

Shri B.K. Aggarwal

General Manager, Northern Railway

Baroda House, New Delhi

Respondent

ORDER(oral)

Shri Justice S.C. Mathur

The applicant alleges disobedience by the respondent of the Tribunal's order passed in OA-690/89 decided on 14.2.92. The applicant had earlier filed CCP 34/93 which was disposed of on 29.4.93 and again CP 450/93 decided on 23.4.94. The Tribunal had observed on 23.4.94 that the administration had complied with the directions of the Tribunal. It had further observed that "We, however, made it clear that if there are any omission in that behalf, the petitioner can bring the same to the notice of the authorities and get the necessary credit made in his account. Hence, nothing is required to be done in this case in regard to GPF also. The entire matter thus stands concluded."

L

- 257 -

2. From this observation, it is clear that the Tribunal was of the opinion that so far as the compliance of the orders of the Tribunal was concerned, the same have been ^{done} met. However, if the applicant felt that something ^{could} else was wanting, he ^{should} approach the administrative authority. The Tribunal did not reserve any right in the applicant to make another application for contempt. The present contempt petition is therefore misconceived.

3. In view of the above, the contempt petition is dismissed.

P.J. 25

[Signature]

(P.T. Thiruvengadam)
Member(A)
30.5.95

(S.C. Mathur)
Chairman
30.5.95

/tvq/