

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH
NEW DELHI.

C P No. 154 of 1995

IN

O.A.No. 628 of 1986.

New Delhi: this the 30th day of December, 1996.

HON'BLE MR.S.R.ADIGE, MEMBER(A).

HON'BLE DR.A.VEDAVALLI, MEMBER(J).

Dr. Pawan Kumar Jain,
S/o Shri Gopi Ram Jain,
R/o WZ-29, Golden Park,
Rampura,
Delhi - 110035.

.....Applicant.

(Applicant in person.)

Versus

Employees State Insurance Corporation
through its Director General Shri B.R.Basu,
Kotla Road,
New Delhi.

.....Respondent.

(By Addl. Solicitor General Shri Reddy with Shri G.R.
Nayyar).

JUDGMENT

BY HON'BLE MR.S.R.ADIGE, MEMBER(A).

Applicant alleges contumacious disobedience of the Tribunal's judgment dated 19.2.87 in T.A.No. 492/86 Dr. (Mrs. Prem Lata Choudhari Vs. Employees State Insurance Corporation to the extent that study leave prayed for had not been sanctioned, and the applicant was not placed in the scale of Rs. 3000-4500 after 4 years of service as was granted to other ESIC Medical Officers Group II .

2. It is well settled that the purpose of initiating Contempt of Court proceedings against alleged contemnors is to instil respect for the law and the judicial process. It is a matter between the court and the alleged contemnors and is not for agitating the private rights of an individual.

21

3. There is no specific direction in the impugned judgment dated 19.2.87 calling upon the respondents to grant the applicant study leave or to place him in the scale of Rs. 3000-4500 upon completion of 4 years' service. In the absence of any such specific direction, if the applicant still contends that these benefits flow out of the judgment dated 19.2.87 it is open to agitate the same through the procedure established by law. A CP is not the appropriate instrument for the purpose.

4. The CP is dismissed and notices to alleged contemnors are discharged.



(DR. A. VEDA VALLI)
MEMBER (J).



(S. R. ADIGE)
MEMBER (A).

/ug/