

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Jammu Bench, Jammu**

Hearing through video conferencing

**T.A. No.62/6115/2020**

Monday, this the 21<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2020

**Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Sagar Jain, Member (J)**

1. Firdouse Ahmed Qadri S/o Peer Ghulam-ud-din Qadri  
R/o Poshwari Pattan, Kashmir Age 36 years;
2. Muzaffar Ahmed Parray S/o Sona Ullah Parray, R/o  
Khiram Sirguphwara, District Anantnag (37 years);
3. Hilal Ahmed Wani S/o Ghulam Mohiudin Wani R/o Akad  
P/o Seer Himadan District Anantnag (Ag 37 years);
4. Jaweed Iqbal Wani S/o Ab Rehman Wani R/o  
Zungalpora Devsar, District Kulgam Kashmir (Age 39  
years);
5. Javeed Ahmed S/o Gh Mohd Parray Baboripora,  
Ganderbal (Age 36 years);
6. Kamaljit Singh S/p Pritam Sing R/o Bhadur Singh, R/o  
Karam Nagar, Srinagar (Age 36 years);
7. Tahir Ahmed Rather S/o Saif ud din Rather R/o Dalina  
Barmullah Kashmir (Age 36 years);





8. Ishtiyag Ahmed Shah S/o Gh Nabi Shah R/o Chatabal Srinagar (Age 36 years);

9. Dilawar Ahmed Bhat S/o Mohd Abdullah Bhat R/o Sariwapora Pattan Kashmir (Age 36 years)

.....Applicants

By Advocate: Mr. J.H. Resha

**Versus**

1. State of J&K through Commissioner/Secretary General Administration Department Civil Secretariat, Srinagar;

2. Commissioner/Secretary, General Administration Department, Civil Secretariat, Srinagar;

3. J & K Public Service Commission through Chairman, Polo View, Srinagar;

4. Chairman, J&K Public Service Commission, Polo View, Srinagar;

5. Secretary, J&K Public Service Commission, Polo View, Srinagar.

.....Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr. Rajesh Thapa, DAG)

**O R D E R (ORAL)**

**Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Sagar Jain, Member (J)**



Despite the pin being sent, neither learned counsel for the applicant appeared in video conference nor picking up his mobile number.

2. Despite the direction of the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 24.06.2020 to be present before the Tribunal, neither the applicant nor his counsel present today.

3. However, Mr. Rajesh Thapa, Learned Dy. Advocate General appearing for the respondents present.

4. It seems the applicant is not interested to pursue the matter any further. Accordingly the TA is dismissed for non prosecution for non-appearance of the applicant. There shall be no order as to costs.

**(RAKESH SAGAR JAIN)  
MEMBER (J)**

Asvs.