

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
CHANDIGARH BENCH, CHANDIGARH**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.63/49/2020  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.63/26/2019**

**DATED THIS THE 11<sup>th</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE SHRI SURESH KUMAR MONGA, MEMBER (J)**

(On video conference from Central Administrative Tribunal, Bangalore Bench at Bangalore)

**HON'BLE SHRI RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA, MEMBER (A)**

(On video conference from Central Administrative Tribunal, Bangalore Bench at Bangalore)

Hemant Kumar Gupta, IFS  
Son of Sh. Satya Pal Gupta  
Aged 58 years and 6 months  
Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest  
Management o/o Principal Chief Conservator of Forest  
H.P(HOFF), Arnya Bhawan  
Talland, Shimla-1-171009.  
(Group 'A' Post)

...Petitioner

(By Advocate Shri Randeep Singh (proxy for Shri Vipin Mahajan) – through video conference)

Vs.

1. Sh.Rameshwar Prasad Gupta, IAS  
Secretary to Government of India  
Minister of Environment Forest and Climate Change  
Indira Paryavaran Bhawan  
Jor Bagh Road, Aliganj, New Delhi-110003.  
([sanjaygoyalcgsc@yahoo.com](mailto:sanjaygoyalcgsc@yahoo.com); [secy-moef@nic.in](mailto:secy-moef@nic.in))
2. Sh. Sanjay Gupta, IAS  
Additional Chief Secretary  
Department of Forest  
Himachal Pradesh Secretariat  
Chotta-Shimla

Shimla – 171002.

([advbbsharma@gmail.com](mailto:advbbsharma@gmail.com); forestsecy-hp@nic.in)

...Respondents

(By Advocates Shri Sanjay Goyal, SCGSC for R1 & Shri B.B.Sharma for R2 – through video conference)

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**PER: SURESH KUMAR MONGA, MEMBER (J)**

At the very outset, Shri Sanjay Goyal, learned Senior Central Govt. Standing Counsel has stated that the order dated 18.01.2019 passed by this Tribunal in OA.No.26/2019 has already been complied with as the respondents have already issued a detailed speaking order on 11.12.2020 and, therefore, nothing survives in the present Contempt Petition.

2. The fact as stated by Shri Sanjay Goyal has not been disputed by Shri Randeep Singh appearing as proxy for Shri Vipin Mahajan, learned counsel representing the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to lay a challenge on the order dated 11.12.2020 by way of filing a fresh Original Application if he still remains dissatisfied with the same.
4. Rule of the Court is discharged.

**(RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA)**  
**MEMBER (A)**

/ps/

**(SURESH KUMAR MONGA)**  
**MEMBER (J)**