

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PATNA BENCH, PATNA

OA/051/00066/2021

Date of Order :02.02.2021

C O R A M

HON'BLE MR. M.C.VERMA, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER



Haridvar Sharma, son of Bhikhari Sharma, R/o Vill+PO+PS-Bikramganj, District-Rohtas, Bihar, PIN-802212, Reg. No. 150272623.

..... Applicant.

- By Advocate : Shri Shiv Sagar Sharma.

-Versus-

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Railway Recruitment Board, Ministry of Railway, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
2. The General Manager, Central Railway, CST, Mumbai-400001.
3. The Railway Recruitment Board, Mumbai, through the Secretary, Divisional Railway Office Compound, Mumbai Central-400008.
4. The Divisional Personnel Officer, Central Railway, Solapur, Maharashtra, PIN-413001.
5. The Principal Chief Personnel Officer, Central railway, CST Mumbai, CR. No. 04/2020, Pin-400001.

..... Respondents.

By Advocate :- Shri S.K.Ravi, Id. SC

O R D E R [O R A L]

Per M.C.Verma, Member (Judl.):- Heard. The matter is at notice stage hearing. Having received advance copy of OA, Shri S.K. Ravi Advocate has appeared for the respondents. Grievances of the applicant is that, after being selected, he is not being given appointment order.

2. Learned counsel for applicant Shri Shiv Sagar Sharma submits that some infirmity has remained in the OA before preferring the OA applicant could not represent to the respondents nor he has been apprised in writing as to why respondents are not giving appointment to the applicant. That he come to know that the reason may be of non treating of him a candidate of ST/OBC. That caste certificate of the applicant is also not part and parcel of the OA and taking in view said infirmities the applicant does not want to press the OA rather he want to withdraw this OA to approach before the appropriate forum for appropriate relief.



2. Learned counsel Shri S.K. Ravi submits that OA otherwise is not maintainable as it is suffers from infirmity of territorial jurisdiction as well because the matter relates to RRB Mumbai hence, this Tribunal have no jurisdiction to entertain the OA. However when applicant wants to withdraw the OA, he merely may urge to pass appropriate order.

3. Having taken note of entirety, submission of applicant's counsel that applicant wants to withdraw the OA, we think it would not be proper to advert to at this stage as to whether this Bench is having or not the jurisdiction, the OA is dismissed as withdrawn.

[Sunil Kumar Sinha]
Member (A)
Pkl/

[M.C. Verma]
Member (J)