

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
JODHPUR BENCH, JODHPUR**

Original Application No. 290/00098/2020

Date of decision: 15.06.2020

**CORAM**

**HON'BLE MRS. JASMINE AHMED, MEMBER (J)**  
**HON'BLE MS. ARCHANA NIGAM, MEMBER (A)**

Jawed son of Shri Mohammad Khan, aged about 29 years, Category-OBC-LD, Resident of Ambedkar Colony, Behind FCI Godawn, Near Mehar Medicalb Store, Pipar City, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur (Raj.)-342601.

.....Applicant

By Advocate: Mr. Yashpal Khileri, through vVdeo Conferencing.

Versus

1. Union of India through General Manager, Northern Railway, Baroda House, K.G. Marg, New Delhi-110001.
2. Principal Chief Personnel Officer, Northern Railway, Baroda House, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Chairman, Railway Recruitment Cell, Railway Recruitment Board, Jammu-Srinagar Railway Colony (West), Jammu-180012; email:rrb-jk@nic.in
4. Assistant Personnel Officer, Railway Recruitment Cell, Divisional Railway Office, Railway Station Road, Cantonment Area, Firozpur, Punjab-152001.

5. Divisional Railway Manager, DRM Office, Railway Samudayik Bhawan, Bart Road, Near Over-bridge, Opposite Bank of India, Firozpur, Punjab-152001.

.....Respondents

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**Per Hon'ble Mrs. Jasmine Ahmed, Member (J)**

It is the contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the respondent-department issued Notification dt 23.02.2019 for filling up various posts. Learned counsel for the applicant states that pursuant to the Notification dated 23.02.2019, the applicant applied for the post of Staff Nurse under OBC –PH (OL) category. There were 3 posts for Staff Nurse in Northern Railway under OBC –PH (OL) category. It is also contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant, being fully eligible, applied for the said posts of Staff Nurse. The respondents found him eligible, issued him admit card for taking part in the Computer Based Test (CBT). The applicant appeared in the CBT and secured 30.33675 marks.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant vehemently argued that the cut off marks for OBC –PH (OL) candidate is declared as 30.33675. Accordingly, the applicant has secured similar marks as declared cut off marks under this category. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the respondent-department short listed candidates for document verification and medical test. In this regard, learned counsel for the applicant drew our attention and showed us the roll number of the applicant as a short listed candidate. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant went for document verification

as well as medical test and after whole procedure being completed, the respondent No. 4 directed the applicant to join office of the respondent No. 5 alongwith all required documents on 05.03.2020. Learned counsel for the applicant states that it is shocking that when applicant went to the office of respondent No. 5, he was not allowed to join the office of respondent No. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant also states that applicant is the only candidate in his category to be selected, hence not allowing him to join is violative of natural justice, arbitrary and illegal in nature.

3. The Bench puts a query to learned counsel for the applicant whether he is having knowledge that anybody under his category securing lesser marks than him was appointed. He could not give any proper reply. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has preferred a detailed representation to the respondent but on query he could not show the representation on record. Learned counsel for the applicant states that he will be happy and satisfied if this Bench gives a direction to the applicant to file fresh representation stating all his grievances in detail within 07 days from today and a direction is given to the respondents to decide representation after getting it from the applicant within a time bound manner.

4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer of learned counsel for the applicant, we feel it is unnecessary to keep the present OA pending in this situation. Accordingly, the applicant is directed to prefer a fresh representation stating all his grievances within 7 days from today and the respondents/respondent No. 4 are directed to decide his fresh representation after receiving it from the applicant

within one month by passing a detailed reasoned speaking order with intimation to the applicant.

within one months by passing a detailed reasoned speaking order with intimation to the applicant.

5. Accordingly, with the above said directions, we dispose off present OA in above said terms. We make it clear that while disposing off this OA nothing has been commented upon the merits. OA is accordingly disposed off.

**(ARCHANA NIGAM)**  
**MEMBER (A)**

**(JASMINE AHMED)**  
**MEMBER (J)**