



**Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench, New Delhi**

OA No.1679/2020

Today this the 3rd day of November, 2020

Through video conferencing

**Hon'ble Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. Mohd. Jamshed, Member (A)**

Jyoti Yadav wife of Shri Pratik Kumar
Aged about 27 years
R/o staff Quarter Kendriya Vidyalaya
Campus, K.V. Jhalawar (Raj.)
& presently working as PRT
Kendriya Vidyalaya
Jhalawar-326001 (Rajasthan)
Grup 'B'.

..Applicant

(Mr. Vipul Diwakar, Advocate)

Versus

1. Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan (HQ)
18-Institutional Area, Shaheed Jeet Singh Marg
New Delhi-110016.
Through its Commissioner
2. Assistant Commissioner (Estt.II/III)
Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan (HQ)
18-Institutional Area, Shaheed Jeet Singh Marg
New Delhi-110016.

..Respondents

(Mr. S. Rajappa, Advocate)

Order (Oral)

Justice L. Narasimha Reddy:

The applicant was appointed as Primary Teacher in the Kendriya Vidyalaya (KV) establishment, in 2014. She was posted in an institution at Jhalawar (Rajasthan). The applicant



submitted a representation dated 29.06.2020 with a request to transfer her to an institution at KV Bhakli or to the one at KV Rewari. Her grievance is that the respondents have not considered her request and that, there is a vacant post in the institution at Rewari. This OA is filed with a prayer to direct the respondents to post her in the institution at KV Bhakli.

2. The applicant states that ever since her appointment, she is working at Jhalawar and that her husband is working in the Food Corporation of India and is posted at Rewari. She made a representation on 29.06.2020 in this behalf. Her grievance is that no steps have been taken thereon.

3. Heard Shri Vipul Diwakar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S. Rajappa, learned counsel for the respondents, at the stage of admission, through video conferencing.

4. The respondents have their own transfer policy guidelines to be followed in the context of making periodical or other transfers and postings. The question as to whether the applicant is entitled to be posted at the place chosen by her needs to be examined by the respondents. It cannot be said that there was any undue delay on the part of the respondents in dealing with the representation submitted by the applicant.



At the same time the applicant needs to be informed of the nature of the action taken on her representation at the earliest.

5. Therefore, we dispose of the OA directing the respondents to pass orders on the representation dated 29.06.2020 submitted by the applicant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

(Mohd. Jamshed)
Member (A)

(Justice L. Narasimha Reddy)
Chairman

November 3, 2020
/sunil/vb/ankit/sd