

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench, New Delhi**

**OA No.100/919/2016 & M.A.No.3654/2018**

**This the 24th day of February, 2021**

(Through Video Conferencing)



**Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy,  
Chairman  
Hon'ble Mr. Mohd. Jamshed, Member (A)**

P.C.Meena, Under Secretary,  
Department of Land Resources,  
M/o Rural Development, Nirman Bhawan,  
New Delhi.

...Applicant

(By Advocate: Mr. Rishi Kant Singh)

**VERSUS**

1. Union of India through  
The Secretary,  
Department of Rural Development,  
Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
  2. Secretary,  
Department of Land Resources,  
M/o Rural Development, Nirman Bhawan,  
New Delhi.
  3. Secretary, Department of Personnel & Training,  
North Block, New Delhi.
- ...Respondents

(By Advocates: Mr. Gyanendra Singh and Mr.Rajinder  
Nischal)

## ORDER (Oral)

**Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman:**



The applicant was appointed as Assistant in the year 1988 and was promoted to Section Officer in the year 1998. Thereafter, he was included in the Selection List for Under Secretary in 2006, and was promoted in 2010. FIR No.268/2011 dated 06.07.2011 was filed against the applicant in the Police Station, Bhusawar, Tehsil-Weir, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan, in connection with the offences punishable under Sections 143 (unlawful Assembly-Bailable), 323 (Causing hurt-Bailable), 342 (wrongful restraint-Bailable), 447 (Tresspass-Bailable), 427 (mischief causing damage to the amount of fifty rupees-Bailable, and subsequently added 326 (grievous hurt-Non Bailable), of the IPC. He was also arrested. Through an order dated 30.10.2011, he was placed under suspension. The order of suspension was revoked two years thereafter i.e., on 01.02.2012, and he was reinstated into service.

2. The applicant filed this OA with a prayer to direct the respondents to release the service benefits for the period, during which, he was under suspension and to promote him to the post of Deputy Secretary with effect from the date on which his juniors were promoted. Further prayer is to direct the respondent no.2 to send his vigilance status to respondent

no.3, and ultimately extend the consequential benefits to him in all respects.

3. The applicant contends that the criminal case was totally unrelated to his service and there was no basis for the respondents to deny him the promotion and other benefits.



4. The respondents filed a detailed counter affidavit. According to them, the applicant suppressed the factum of his being arrested and later on he was placed under suspension. It is also stated that the promotion and other benefits could not be extended to the applicant on the ground of pendency of the criminal case.

5. The applicant filed a rejoinder.

6. We heard Mr.Rishikant Singh, learned counsel for the Applicant and Mr.Gyanendra Singh and Mr.Rajinder Nischal, learned counsel for the Respondents.

7. It is no doubt true that the juniors of the applicant were promoted to the higher post and were also extended consequential benefits. The fact, however, remains that the applicant could not be promoted to the post of Deputy Secretary on account of the pendency of the criminal case.

8. It is stated that the sealed cover procedure was adopted. Even by the time the OA was filed, the criminal case was

pending. Therefore, the respondents cannot be found fault with for not extending the benefit of promotion etc. During the pendency of the OA, the applicant is said to have been acquitted by the Criminal Court, vide its judgment dated 12.07.2018.



9. In view of this development, the applicant needs to make a representation to the respondents to extend him the benefits, which were otherwise due to him, but were denied on account of the pendency of the criminal case. It is stated that such a representation has since been made.

10. Therefore, we dispose of this OA with a direction to the respondents to pass orders on the representation submitted by the applicant on 17.12.2020 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. We leave it open to the applicant to supplement the representation with additional grounds, if any.

11. The MA.No.3654/2018 also stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**(Mohd. Jamshed)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice L. Narasimha Reddy)**  
**Chairman**

/rk/vb/ankit/dsn