

Item No.7

**Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench, New Delhi**O.A. No.2087/2020
M.A. No.2297/2020Tuesday, this the 15th day of December, 2020Through video conferencing**Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman**
Hon'ble Mr. Mohd. Jamshed, Member (A)

Rinku Prinja
 Age 50 years, Desi-Secretary Group B
 W/o Sh. Sandeep Kumar Prinja
 R/o 3, Wood Lane, Iver Heath
 South Bucks, SLO OLL, London.

...Applicant

(By Advocate: Ms. Sonia Sharma)

Versus

1. The Union of India
Through its Secretary
Ministry of Tourism
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street
New Delhi.
2. Additional Director General
Ministry of Tourism
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street
New Delhi.
3. Joint Secretary, Ministry of Tourism
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street
New Delhi.
4. The India Tourism
Through Assistant Director
Government of India
India House, Aldwych
London-WC2B4NA.
5. Assistant Director
Government of India
India House, Aldwych

London-WC2B4NA.

...Respondents

(By Advocate: Mr. A.K. Singh)

ORDER (ORAL)**Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy:**M.A. No.2297/2020

This Application is filed with a prayer to condone the delay of 4645 days in filing the O.A. The applicant has claimed the relief in relation to the revision of her salary for the past several years. The reason mentioned for such delay is that she was working in the Indian Embassy, Ministry of Tourism at London and she could not pursue the remedy with promptitude.

2. The respondents filed a detailed counter affidavit opposing the M.A.

3. We heard Ms. Sonia Sharma, learned counsel for applicant and Mr. A K Singh, learned counsel for respondents, at length, through video conferencing.

4. The delay in filing the O.A. is more than ten years. By any standard, such a delay cannot be condoned at all. The reasons stated by the applicant, hardly convince the Tribunal. Assuming that the applicant is working in London, she does not have to travel all the way to Delhi, to file the O.A. She could have given necessary instructions at any point of time. We are not convinced to condone such an enormous delay.

5. The M.A. is accordingly dismissed.

O.A. No.2087/2020



As a result, the O.A. shall also stand dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(Mohd. Jamshed) (Justice L. Narasimha Reddy)
Member (A) Chairman

December 15, 2020
/sunil/ns/sd