

(5)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
NEW DELHI

O.A. No. 863/89. 198  
T.A. No.

DATE OF DECISION 31.8.89.

Dr. (Mrs. Urmila Rani Applicant (s)  
Aggarwal.

Shri B.S. Mainee Advocate for the Applicant (s)

Versus

UOI & Ors. Respondent (s)

Shri P.H. Ramchandani, Sr. Standing Counsel  
Advocate for the Respondent (s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. B.C. Mathur, Vice-Chairman (A)

The Hon'ble Mr.


1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. To be circulated to all Benches of the Tribunal ?

JUDGEMENT

This is an application filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, by Dr. (Mrs.) Urmila Rani Aggarwal, wife of Dr. J.P. Aggarwal, Divisional Medical Officer, Ghaziabad, against the impugned order No. 940-E/19-XIX/EIa dated 17.4.1989, passed by the General Manager, Northern Railway, New Delhi, transferring the applicant from Ghaziabad to South Eastern Railway, Calcutta. The case of the respondents is that the applicant, Dr. (Mrs.) Urmila Rani Aggarwal was first posted to Tundla and then to S.E. Railway, Calcutta so that both the husband and wife can remain together. The transfer order of the husband of the applicant, Dr. J.P. Aggarwal, from Ghaziabad to S.E. Railway, Calcutta, has been quashed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 864/89.

Ban

AS such, the justification for transferring the applicant from Ghaziabad to Calcutta does not continue. As such, the impugned transfer order is quashed and the application is allowed. There will be no order as to costs.

  
(B.C. Mathur) 31.8.89  
Vice-Chairman.