Central Administrative Tribunal Principal Bench, New Delhi.

Date of decision: 9.1.1990

O.A. No.2380/89

B.K. Gupta

Applicant

O.A. No. 2381/89

Om Parkash Sharma

Applicant

O.A. No.2384/89

Prem Kumar

Applicant

O.A. No.2389/89 Banamali Haldar

Applicant

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Respondents

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. T.S. Oberoi, Member (J)

Hon'ble Mr. I.K. Rasgotra, Member (A)

For the applicants:

Shri R.K. Kamal, counsel.

For the respondents:

Shri M.L. Verma, counsel.

JUDGMENT (delivered by Hon'ble Mr. T.S. Oberoi, Member).

The applicants, S/Shri B.K. Gupta, Om Parkash Sharma

and Banamali Haldar, working as Lower Division Clerks and Shripprem Kumar, as Assistant in the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Man Singh Road, New Delhi, have filled these applications under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, praying for the quashing/revocation of their suspession, and also that the respondents be directed to reinstate them and assign them their respective duties.

The facts of the case, briefly, are that on registration of a case by the CBI, on a complaint by Smi Amit Das Gupta, Indian Foreign Service, Protector of Emigrants, (P.O.E. for short), Man Singh Road, New Delhi, on 21.4.1988, investigations were carried on by the Investigating Officer, CBI and the applicants, after their arrest, were



put under deemed suspension, with effect from 21.4.1988, vide order dated 2nd May, 1988. The allegations against the applicants were that they were illegally charging money for all cases brought to the office of the P.O.E., by the Travel/ Recruiting Agents, for getting emigration clearance and for granting permission to export man-power to foreign countries. It was further alleged that the daily collection of the illegal money varied from Rs. 10,000/- to Rs. 30,000/-, depending upon the number of cases cleared on each day, and that the applicants, S/Shri Prem Kumar and B.K. Gupta, had been offering the share to the complainant, Shri Amit Das Gupta, out of the illegally collected money, by the staff of the P.O.E., which the complainant had been refusing to take. The said two officials, viz. s/Shri Prem Kumar and B.K. Gupta, were adamant and threatened the complainant that in case he did not accept the share of the amount so collected by 21.4.1988, they would stop processing the cases and thereby stop the work. The time to share the amount so collected, was fixed at 6.00 p.m. on 21st April, 1983 in the office room of the complainant, when the above named two accused officials were supposed to give the share of the amount, so collected, amounting to approximately, Rs. 25,000/-, to the complainant, Shri Amit Das Gupta. This led to filing of the complaint by the said complainant, upon which a trap was laid, resulting into the appearance of the applicants besides some others. Some further amounts were also allegedly recovered at the instance of the applicants, which eventually led to their prosecution.

The applicants, vide the above mentioned O.As, have sought for quashing/revocation of their suspension order, and also putting them back to duty. Their pleas are mainly based on the ground that the C.B.I. 新生 中华 1143. B. R. 等 had taken as many as about 17 months in instituting gongan) sia maid sepina an Europ the challans against them, which is a fairly prolonged spell, and that alone, entitled them to be put back १७५१ १५ इ.स to duty, by revoking the order of their suspension. They have also put forth that keeping the Government servants under suspension for long spells serves no purpose, and sheerly adds to their prolonged agony, compelling them to forced idleness and that they should be put back on duty, may be, at different places and offices, so as to avoid the least possibility of any tampering with the evidence/ investigation in the case. They have sought support from a decided case in O.A. No. 278/88 (Rati Pal Saroj ittenny Be Vs. Union of India), decided by a Bench of this Tribunal on 1.5.1989, pleading for the relief aforementioned. They also pleaded that payment of salary to the extent 老金一的20分类,只要到了大量的增强的 of 75 per cent during the period of suspension, without getting any work from them, is also against the public interest, as the proceedings being carried out against them may take well over years, to finalise.

In the counters filed on behalf of the respondents in each of the aforesaid cases, the plea of the applicants has been verseently resisted. It is urged that the nature of offere involves moral turpitude, and putting the applicants back to duty, would not be in the interest of administration, nor would it be viewed in public interest. It would also be against the avowed policy of the State to foot set corruption, and the

O Gee

continued suspension of the applicants would be demonstrative of the policy of the Government to deal strictly and sternly with such like elements. It was contended that even the applicants would not be able to devote themselves fully to the assigned duties, because of the case, as they would not be in such a frame of mind to put in their best in the job entrusted to them.

- contentions, as made out from their respective pleadings, and also as put forth by their respective learned Counsel, during arguments, at the stage of admission.
 - 6. Since the question to be looked into and decided at this stage is very limited, we feel that the matter can be disposed of at this very stage.
- As has been brought forth above, the case in which the applicants have been challaned against, involves moral turpitude. As has been mentioned in the counter filed on behalf of the respondents, the challan has since been put in the competent court of Special Judge, on 22.9.1989. The case referred to by the applicants is not , to our mind, on all fours to the facts and circumstances of the present case, inasmuch as the challan against the applicants has since been put in the trial when ch, which is already seized of the matter. The facts and circumstances of each case have their own importance, and keeping in view the nature of allegations involved in the present case, we are not inclined to grant the relief sought for, by the applicants, for putting them back on duty, by

THE TO GOT THE BUTTONESS OF THE TANK AND THE TANK OF T

water water to write the territories of the territories of the section

ski gegren i i i och mode sam göten se ski i hkonobod

revoking/quashing the order of their suspension.

8. As a result of the foregoing, the said applications are accordingly dismissed, without any order as to costs of the costs of the costs of the

and the control of the control designs as

(I.K. Rasgotra) 9/2/90
Member (A)
Member (T)

Member (J)

医水色 医复乳糖素

Administrative

in with the to it is \$10 km CER HELD TO BE TRUE COL Date

Section Office - Centra FAdina discrative Tribuna! Principal & ch, buildkor House The part of the state of the state of

The Markey of Society Control of the Control of the any other to the extension part satisfies

and the contract of the contra

of the state of the second of the second sec

Caccar and of recommendate the contract like Province eliens of the

ে লেকটাৰ কুলালালালৈ বিভাগত কৰিবলাই একস্

សន្និកាក់ប្រើនូវកាសមានប្រើបានក្នុង ។ បើបានស៊ី មេប៉ាប៊ី នេះ ២១៤៤គេក

it es petised base, accordant and a safe,

verso dredugy edi et bayika i cabitopelis

and it lives at all or only the second about lives

the 10 region confirmação mois madesdos agrae