

⑨

In the Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench, New Delhi.

Date of decision: 26.2.90

O.A. No. 1859/89

O.A. No. 1711/89

Charan Singh & Ors.

...

Applicants.

O.A. No. 1859/89

Dalip Kumar & Ors.

...

Applicants

vs.

UOI & Ors.

...

respondents.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri T.S. Oberoi, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri I.K. Rasgotra, Member (A)

For the applicants: Shri Ravi Dasi, counsel.

For the respondents: Shri P.H. Ramchandani, Sr. Standing  
counsel, with Shri A.K. Behra, counsel

JUDGMENT (oral).

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Shri A.K. Behra, learned counsel for the respondents  
stated that besides these two O.As., there is one  
more O.A. which is pending in this Tribunal and the  
total number of applicants is seven. The prayer made  
and the issues involved in these O.As are similar.

In accordance with the directions of the  
Tribunal dated 23.11.1989 in MP No. 2560/89 in O.A. No. 1859/89,  
the respondents held a fresh selection. All the seven  
applicants were advised to get their names sponsored from  
the Employment Exchange, as per the requirement of  
mandatory Rules, and to appear for an interview on the  
date fixed for the purpose. On the date of interview, out  
of the seven applicants, only six appeared (Shri Subhash  
Chand - one of the applicants did not appear). All the  
six applicants who appeared in the interview have been

2

empanelled in a panel of 10 names (Annexure R-1 to reply to MP No. 176/90 in OA No. 1859/39). Out of the 10 persons empanelled, six have already been engaged. The remaining four are also in the process of being engaged. The learned counsel for the applicant stated that the seventh candidate, Shri Subhash Chand, could not appear in the interview as he had received the intimation for appearing in the interview, scheduled to be held on 9.1.1990, only on 14.1.1990. On 14.1.1990, he, too, went to the office of the respondents but was not entertained. Having regard to the circumstances of the case, we direct that Shri Subhash Chand, the seventh applicant, should also be interviewed and in case, he is found fit and suitable for the job, as directed in the orders passed by this Tribunal on 23.11.1989, adverted to above, he should be empanelled and given preference for engagement over the persons in the panel, who had not earlier been employed, if they have not already been engaged.

With the above directions, the O.As stand disposed of. There will, however, be no order as to costs. Issue dasti to the parties.

*(Signature)*  
(I.K. Rasgotra)  
Member (A) 26/2/90

*(Signature)* 26/2/90  
(T.S. Oberoi)  
Member (J)