

12✓

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

CP.No.139 of 1997
in
O.A. No.540 of 1996

New Delhi, this 13th day of September, 1999.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. RAJAGOPALA REDDY, VICE CHAIRMAN
HON'BLE MRS. SHANTA SHASTRY, MEMBER(A)

Shri Mansha Ram
S/o Late Shri Tej Ram
C/o Shri Rohtas Singh
R/o C-8/247, Yamuna Vihar
Delhi.

... Petitioner

(By Advocate: Shri R.V. Sinha)

versus

1. Shri D.P. Tripathi
The Secretary
M/o Railways (Railway Board)
Rail Bhawan
New Delhi-11.
2. Shri S.P. Mehta
The General Manager
Northern Railway
Baroda House
3. Shri V.K. Kaul
The Divisional Railway Manager
Northern Railway
Bikaner Division
Bikaner, Rajasthan ... Respondent

(By Advocate: Shri R.L. Dhawan)

O R D E R (Oral)

By Reddy, J.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner
and the respondents.

2. The direction given in the OA against which
the C.P. arises is as follows:-

"We dispose of this OA with a
direction to respondents to conclude the
Departmental Proceedings initiated against

CRA

applicant vide Charge Memo dated 28.6.98 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in which applicant also should cooperate fully, and thereafter respondents should pass appropriate consequential orders in accordance with law. No costs.

The M.A. No. 640/98 also stands disposed of accordingly."

3. By the above directions the respondents were directed to conclude the departmental proceedings and pass appropriate orders.

4. A reply is filed by the respondents stating that the departmental proceedings were concluded and the case was closed by an order dated 3.11.98. A copy of the order is also filed along with the reply as Annexure R-1. It is further stated that necessary orders were passed for retirement dues and payment was also arranged as shown in para-2 of the reply.

5. In view of the above averments made in the reply, we are satisfied that the direction given in the OA has been complied with.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner however requests time for filing rejoinder and to ascertain whether the order has been complied with or not. He further contends that the order passed by the respondents is not proper and the petitioner is entitled to other benefits.

7. As stated above, we are satisfied that the order has been fully complied. The only direction given in the OA was to conclude the enquiry and pass

CRA

appropriate orders. Accordingly, the proceedings were concluded and order was passed. If the applicant is aggrieved by the said order, it is open to him to challenge the same. ~~But this point cannot be raised in the Contempt Petition.~~

8. In the circumstances, the C.P. dismissed. Notice discharged. No costs.

Shanta S-

(Mrs. Shanta Shastry)
Member(A)

dbc

V. Rajagopla Reddy

(V. Rajagopla Reddy)
Vice Chairman(J.)