

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH

G.P. 64/2000

04 1878 of 1996

Hon'ble Mr. Justice V. Rajagopala Reddy, V.C. (J)
Hon'ble Mrs. Shanta Shastri, Member (A)

New Delhi this the 2nd May, 2000

1. Dalsher Singh, s/o Sh. Nanad,
2. Asgar, S/o Sh. Gateh Mohammed,
R/o A-15, Tyagi Vihar, Nangaloi,
New Delhi.

.....Applicants

(By: Advocate Sh. Yogesh Sharma)

Versus

1. Sh. Anand,
Divisional Railway Manager,
Northern Railway,
Bikaner, (Rajasthan)

.....Respondent

(By: Advocate Sh. R.L. Dhanan)

ORDER (Oral)

Hon'ble Mr. Justice V. Rajagopala Reddy, V.C. (J)

It is not disputed that the order has been complied with, but ^{it is admitted by} there was inordinate delay in the compliance.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicant that though order was passed directing the respondents to include the name of the applicants in the live casual labour Register and consider them for re-engagement against any future vacancy, by order dated 5.9.97, the respondents had complied with the order on 24.4.2000.

2. Now, an affidavit has been filed by the respondents to say that no person junior to the applicants or outsiders

..2/-

CAB

have been engaged after 5.9.97.

29

3. In the circumstances, there is proper explanation ^{for delay} in compliance of the order as the applicants have been included in the live casual labour Register. We do not deem it fit to take any action in this C.P. against the respondents for the delay. It is, however, open to petitioners to make representation, bringing it to their notice if any junior was appointed contrary to the directions of the order. As and when such representation is filed the respondents shall consider the same and dispose of by considering as per the law. C.P. is therefore disposed of. Notices discharged.

Shanta Shastri
(SMT. SHANTA SHASTRY)
Member(A)

V. Rajagopala Reddy
(V.RAJAGOPALA REDDY)
V.C.(J)