

Item No.1/R

O.A.No.84/94

Dt.03.02.1998

Present: Sh.Madhok, proxy for
Sh.B.S. Mainee, learned counsel for the
applicant.

Ms.Sunita Rao, learned counsel for the
respondents.

On the request of both the counsel the case is
adjourned, but it is made clear that no further
adjournment will be entertained.

List the case on 11.2.98.

R.K. Ahoja
(R.K. AHOJA)
MEMBER(A)

B/

11/2/98

Present:- Sh. B.S. Mainee, Counsel for the Applicant
Ms Sunita B. Rao, Counsel for the Respondents

Received the 2nd counsel for both the parties, arguments
concluded. Reserved for orders

(Difference of
opinions)

Bench

Respectfully Mr. R.K. Ahoja
M.A.

27/2/98

Refer order dt-27/2/98, Para 6, for further directions

27/4/98

Refer order of the court - Difference of opinion resolved.

Pr
me
C/CO

Pr
me
C/CO

ORDER OF THE COURT

27th April, 1998


O.A.No.84/94


(A7)

Present: None for the applicant.

None for the respondents.

The difference of opinion has been resolved. Accordingly, as per the opinion of the majority, the ultimate direction that is required to be issued to the respondents is that they will assign the first applicant's seniority in the Annexure A-14 seniority list at a place between serial No.25 and 26. The respondents are further directed to assign the proper place to both the applicants in the Annexure-I seniority list of Fitter Grade-III and place them immediately above any person who was junior to them in the Annexure A-14 seniority list, as directed to be amended above.


(R.K. AHOOJA)
MEMBER(A)


(DR. A. VEDAVALLI)
MEMBER(J)

Mittal