

(2)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH
NEW DELHI

O.A. No.446/1994

New Delhi, dated the 7th March, 1994.

Hon'ble Mr. N.V. Krishnan, Vice Chairman(A)

Hon'ble Mr. B.S. Hegde, Member(Judicial)

Shri Ram Bilas
R/o C/o Shri S.K.Dhar,
4014/16, Bagichi Ram Chander,
Pahar Ganj, N/Delhi

... Applicant

(By Advocate Sh.Mahesh Srivastava)

Versus

1. Chairman, Railway Board,
Govt.of India, Rail Bhavan,
New Delhi.
2. Genl.Manager, North Eastern Railway,
Gorakpur(U.P.)
3. Divisional Railway Manager(E)?
N.E. Railway, Izzat Nagar(UP)

... Respondents

ORDER(ORAL)

(Hon'ble Mr. N.V.Krishnan, Vice Chairman(A))

We have heard the ld.counsel for the applicant.

The applicant has challenged the order dated 22.9.93 (Ann.A)
by which the petition dated 28.3.89 which was required
to be considered by the respondents in terms of judgement
of this Tribunal/has been considered and rejected.

/delivered on
10-4-92(Ann-D)

The applicant has now put up a new ground
namely that in similar case relief have been given by the
Supreme Court in UOI & Ors V/s R.Reddappa and others
(SLR Vol.90 1993(4) page 794)

..

(3)

We are of the view, that in the first instance the applicant should approach the respondents and seek relief based on this Supreme Court Judgement and in case such relief ^{is} ~~has~~ ^u ~~not~~ granted, it is open to him to approach this Tribunal. We, therefore, find that this O.A. is premature and dismissed at the admission stage.

^{1/2/84}
(B.S. Hegde)

Member(J)

sk

^{1.2.84}
(N.V.Krishnan)

Vice Chairman(A)