

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench: New Delhi

...

OA No.1611/97

New Delhi, this the 29th day of July, ,1997

Hon'ble Dr. Jose P. Verghese, Vice-Chairman(J)  
Hon'ble Shri K.Muthukumar, Member (A)

1. Yadunandan s/o Shri Ramu
  2. Vijay Kumar s/o Deep Narain Prasad
  3. Moti Singh s/o Harak Singh
  4. Ganga Basi s/o Kishan Lal
  5. Madan Lal s/o Rajbeer Singh
  6. Mohan Chander s/o Bastam Ram
  7. Shambhu Nath s/o Babu Singh
  8. Ram Naresh s/o Shri Karia
  9. Kalicharan s/o Mool Chand
  10. Shivji Lal s/o Sh. Anokhe Lal
  11. Aho Ram s/o Ratti Ram
  12. Hardayal Singh s/o Nannu Ram
  13. Govind Singh Negi s/o N.S.Negi
  14. Vidhayadhar s/o Bhala Ram
  15. Hari Ram s/o Not known
  16. Mahinder s/o Jautari Singh
- (All r/o village Sultanpuri, New Delhi).

(By Advocate: Shri U.Srivastava)

-versus-

1. N.C.T. Delhi through  
Secretary,  
5, Sham Nath Marg,  
Delhi.
2. The D.G., Home Guard & Civil Defence,  
Nishkam Sewa Bhawan, Raja Garden,  
New Delhi.
3. The Commandant,  
Home Guard & Civil Defence,  
Nishkam Sewa Bhawan,  
New Delhi.

...Respondents

(By Departemtnal representative:Sh.Wadhwa Singh,DSO)


O R D E R (ORAL)  
[Dr. Jose P. Verghese, Vice-Chairman(J)]

In response to our notice, departmental representative Shri Wadhwa Singh, DSO, appears today and states that he has no objection if the matter is disposed of today in terms of the decisions cited in para 9 of the OA.


In the circumstances, the respondents are directed to give all the reliefs to the petitioner as it has been given to the similarly placed colleagues of the petitioner applying the decisions of this court given in case of Krishan Kumar & Ors. vs. NCT of Delhi (OA 188/95); Durga Prasad and others vs. NCT of Delhi (OA - 119/97) and Babu Ram and others vs. NCT of Delhi (OA-120/97). Respondents are further directed to comply with the above directions and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. 3

Departmental representative submits that the claim of the petitioners is time barred. Since we are not passing any order for payment of arrears or any implementation of the order with retrospective effect, the respondents shall consider case of the petitioners prospectively while implementing the judgements/decisions cited above.

With the above directions, this OA is disposed of with no order as to costs.

  
(K. Muthukumar)  
Member (A)

naresh

  
(Dr. Jose P. Verghese)  
Vice-Chairman (J)