

## CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH

OA No.633/96, with OA 1192/96 and OA 1194/96

New Delhi, this 24th day of April, 2000

Hon'ble Shri Justice V.Rajagopala Reddy, VC(J)  
Hon'ble Smt. Shanta Shastry, Member(A)

OA No.633/1996

Jagat Singh  
No.8872/8516/DAP  
r/o village Budhaka, PO Baina  
Dt. Aligarh (UP) .. Applicant

(By Shri M.P. Raju, Advocate - not present)

versus

Union of India, through

1. Secretary  
Ministry of Home Affairs  
North Block, New Delhi
2. Commissioner of Police  
Police Hqrs., IP Estate, New Delhi
3. Dy. Commissioner of Police  
IV Bn. DAP, Delhi .. Respondents

(By Shri Vijay Pandita, through proxy Shri  
Rajindra Pandita)


OA No.1192/1996

Ex Constable Satender Pal  
No.9213/2451/DAP  
r/o village & PO Ailun  
Dt. Muzaffarnagar, UP .. Applicant(By Smt. Avnish Ahlawat, Advocate, through proxy  
Shri Mohit Madan, Advocate)

versus

Union of India, through

1. Lt. Governor of Delhi, through  
Commissioner of Police  
Police Hqrs., IP Estate, New Delhi
2. Sr. Addl. Commissioner of Police (AP&T)  
Police Hqrs., IP Estate, New Delhi
3. Dy. Commissioner of Police  
IV Bn. DAP, Kingsway Camp, Delhi .. Respondents

(By Shri Vijay Pandita, through proxy Shri  
Rajindra Pandita)


OA No.1194/1996

~~Om Singh~~ *Rajender Singh* S/o Om Singh  
r/o Village & PO Lilon  
Dt. Muzaffar Nagar. UP

.. Applicant

(By Smt. Avnish Ahlawat, Advocate, through proxy  
Shri Mohit Madan, Advocate)

versus

Union of India, through

1. Lt. Governor of Delhi through  
Commissioner of Police  
IP Estate, New Delhi

2. Sr. Addl. Commissioner of Police (AP&T)  
Police Hqrs., IP Estate, New Delhi

3. Dy. Commissioner of Police  
IV Bn. DAP, Kingsway Camp, Delhi .. Respondents

(By Shri Vijay Pandita, through proxy Shri  
Rajindra Pandita)

ORDER (oral)

By Reddy, J. -

Applicant is present in the first OA while his counsel is absent. In the remaining two OAs, Shri Mohit Madan, proxy for Smt. Avnish Ahlawat, seeks adjournment in the matter. *We declined to grant adjournment.*

1. In all the three OAs, orders of removal of the applicants are under challenge. Applicants were constables in Delhi Police. They were removed from service on the ground of securing employment on producing false employment card after enquiry. The orders under challenge are removal, by the disciplinary authority, *and* confirmation ~~by~~ by the appellate authority and revisional authority.

*CA*

(X) *Corrected vide  
Court's order dated 2.6.2000*

3. Identical matters have come up <sup>before Mr. Vg.</sup> ~~in~~ OAs No.435/96 (Subhash Chand Vs. UOI), OA 775/96 (Rakesh Kumar Vs. UOI) and OA 830/96 (Satendar Kumar Vs. Govt. of NCT) and we have considered all the points raised therein, which are more or less same in the present three OAs and all the aforesaid three OAs were dismissed by a common order dated 23.2.2000. Following the above judgement, the present OAs are liable to <sup>be</sup> dismissed. Accordingly they are dismissed. No costs.

*Shanta F*

(Smt. Shanta Shastri)  
Member(A)

*V. Rajagopala Reddy*

(V. Rajagopala Reddy)  
Vice-Chairman(J)

/gtv/