

✓
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH

O.A. 2308/96

Thursday this the 31st October, 1996.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR, CHAIRMAN
HON'BLE MR. R.K. AHOOJA, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

1. Yogesh Kumar, V.P.O Mohammadpur Rai Singh
Dist. M. Nagar-251309.
2. Yogender Singh VPO Bamnoul-250620.
3. Devendra Kumar, Vill. Spobhara Khurd-251319.
4. Rajendra Singh, Zazipur Posandhan-250401.
5. Bijendra Singh, Vill. Hababpur
Mangla-250626.
6. Nagendra Kumar V. Mangla Bari, Rataul-201102.
7. Manoj Kumar, K. 1057, Shastri Nagar, Meerut.

.... Applicants

(By Advocate Mr. J.S. Malik)

Vs.

1. Secretary, Staff Selection Commission,
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-3.
2. Deputy Director (NR), Staff Selection
Commission, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi-3.

.... Respondents

(By Advocate Mr. V.S.R. Krishna)

The application having been heard on 31.10.1996
the Tribunal on the same day delivered the following:

O R D E R

CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR(J), CHAIRMAN

Applicants seek to quash Annexure-B order
rejecting their application for admission to an
examination to be held by respondents Staff Selection
Commission. The application was rejected stating:

"attested copies of certificates from

contd....

competent authorities in support of
their age qualification"

is not attached. Applicants have attached attested
copies of Mark Sheets which incidentally contain
the Date of Birth also. The short question is
whether a mark list incorporating the Date of Birth
can be treated as a certificate. The respondents
mentioned in the invitation of applications
that:


"candidates should note that the Date of
Birth as recorded in the matriculation/
Secondary Examination Certificate or
an equivalent certificate only on the
date of application..."


will be accepted.

2. What is required is the matriculation
certificate or equivalent certificate. A mark list
is neither the matriculation certificate nor equivalent
certificate. At any rate we are not persuaded to
hold that the view taken by the fact finding authority
is so unreasonable as to warrant interference.

3. We see no merit in the application and
dismiss the same. No costs.

Dated the 31st October, 1996.


R.K. AHUJA
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER


CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR(J)
CHAIRMAN

k