

(4)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH

New Delhi, this the 20th day of March, 1996

OA No. 2300/95

Hon'ble Shri A.V. Haridasan, Vice-Chairman(J)  
Hon'ble Shri R.K. Ahooja, Member (A)

Shri Bal Ram Singh  
s/o Shri Kali Charan s/o Sh. Malloo Singh,  
c/o Divisional Engineer (Sat. Mntce(1),  
Satellite Earth Station,  
Sikendrabad, Distt. Bulandsahar(UP). .. Applicant

(By Shri O.P. Khokha, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India through

1. The Secretary,  
Ministry of Communication,  
Deptt. of Telecommunications,  
Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Chief General Manager (NTR),  
Department of Telecommunications,  
2nd Floor, Kidwai Bhawan,  
Janpath, New Delhi.
3. The Divisional Engineer (Sat. Mntce(1)  
Satellite Earth Station,  
Remote Area Business Message Network,  
Galauthi Road, Sikanderabad,  
Distt. Bulandshahar (UP).

(By Shri M.M. Sudan, Advocate).

.... Respondents.

ORDER (Oral)

Hon'ble Shri A.V. Haridasan, Vice-Chairman(J)

The applicant commenced his casual service in December, 1987 and his services were dispensed with. Against that he alongwith two others filed OA No. 2368/89 which was disposed off with a direction to the respondents to re-instate them in service as casual labourers, as far as possible at the place where they had worked earlier or if not possible, they may be accommodated in the vacancies existing anywhere in India and to consider regularisation of their services in accordance with the Scheme prepared by the respondents. The applicants in those cases were re-engaged but finding that they were

*u*

3

- 2 -

re-engaged in the remote areas, they again approached the Tribunal by filing OA No. 2035/90 which was disposed off by judgement dated 4.12.1992 with a direction to the respondents to accommodate the applicants in Delhi Division where they had worked, depending upon the availability of vacancies in the said Division. The applicants have been re-engaged and they are now continued in service. Their present grievance is that the respondents are not considering them for grant of temporary status and regularisation in accordance with the Scheme though the juniors to them having lesser service have been granted the temporary status. The applicant has filed this O.A. praying for a direction to the respondents to consider him for grant of temporary status and then regularisation in Group 'D' cadre from the date in accordance with scheme with consequential benefits.


2. The respondents resist the application and they contend that as there is a break in the service of the applicant during 1992 to 1993, he is not entitled to the benefits of temporary status and regularisation as he was not working on the date on which the Scheme was brought.


3. We have heard the learned counsel on either side and have also perused the pleadings of the case. We find that the break in service cannot be attributed to the applicant and can be attributed only to the respondents since it was caused because of dis-engagement by the respondents that the applicant could not perform his duties. It was under these circumstances that the applicant has <sup>with the</sup> to approach the Tribunal in earlier application wherein a direction was given to the respondents to re-engage the applicant and continue him in

6

service and also to consider him for grant of temporary status and regularisation. Since, there was a direction in OA No. 2369/89 that after re-engagement, the applicants shall be considered for grant of temporary status and regularisation, the respondents are now barred from making submission that the applicant is not entitled to the relief prayed for. Therefore, there is no merit in the contention raised in the reply statement of the respondents.

4. In the result, in view of what is stated above, we allow this applicaaation and direct the respondents to consider the grant of temporary status in favour of applicant and also regularsiation in his turn in accordance with the Scheme with effect from the relevant date reckoning the length of his casual service including <sup>the period of</sup> break in service for which he is not responsible. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order. There is no order as to costs.

  
(R.K. Ahooja)  
Member (A)

  
(A.V. Haridasan)  
Vice-Chairman(J)