

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench: New Delhi

OA No.230/95

New Delhi this the 24th day of Nov. 1995.

Hon'ble Shri S.R.Adige, Member (A)  
Hon'ble Shri D.C.Verma, Member (J)

gmt Sushila Sharma  
Craft Instructor (C&T)  
At present: I.T.I. Subzi Mandi  
Delhi - 110 007.

...Applicant

(By Advocate: Shri D.B.Goswami)

Versus

1. Delhi Administration through  
Chief Secretary  
5, Sham Nath Marg, Delhi-54.
2. The Director  
Dte. of Trg. & Tech. Education  
C-Block, Vikas Bhawan  
New Delhi- 110002.
3. The Vigilance Officer  
Dte. of Trg. & Tech. Education  
C-Block, Vikas Bhawan  
New Delhi-2.
4. Shri J.R.Sauran  
Forman, I.T.I. Jahangir Puri  
Delhi - 33.
5. Shri A.K.Ratawal  
Principal of I.T.I. Jahangirpuri  
Delhi - 110 033.

...Respondents.

(By Advocate: Shri Vijay Pandita.)

O R D E R (Oral)

Hon'ble Shri S.R.Adige, Member (A)

We have heard the counsels for the parties.

In this application, Smt. Sushila Sharma, the applicant, had prayed for certain reliefs. There is only one relief than can be <sup>granted</sup> ~~granted~~, namely to direct the respondents to take back all the memos of warning dated 26.3.92, 13.4.92, 23.4.92 and 12.5.92 issued to the applicant.

2. The respondents in para 5 (xiii) of their <sup>reply</sup> ~~reply~~ stated that the warning letters/memoradums issued to the applicant would not stand as a hindarance in the way of <sup>her</sup> ~~getting~~ increment, clearing EB

A

in future and promotion and other benefits which the applicant is entitled to.

3. In the light of the above submissions in the reply, the respondents should have no difficulty in acceding to the applicant's prayer to withdraw the four memos/warning letters.

4. This OA is disposed of. No costs.

(D.C.Verma)  
Member (J)

*S.R. Adige*  
(S.R.Adige)  
Member (A)

aa.