

(10)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH

O.A.No.2188/95

Tuesday this the 10th day of September, 1996

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR, CHAIRMAN
HON'BLE MR. R.K. AHOOJA, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

L.D'Souza,
R/o Rajbir Rambla, House No.717,
P.O.Ghatorni,
New Delhi-110 030.

... Applicant

(By Advocate Shri B.B. Raval)

Vs.

1. Union of India through the
Cabinet Secretary, Government of India,
Rashtrapati Bhavan, New Delhi.

2. Shri Gurinder Singh,
Joint Secretary (Pers) in the
Research and Analysis Wing,
Cabinet Secretariat,
Government of India,
Room No.8-B, South Block,
New Delhi-11¹/₂ 0 001.

... Respondents

(By Advocate Mr. M.K.Gupta)

The application having been heard on 10.9.1996
the Tribunal on the same day delivered the following:

O R D E R

CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR(J), CHAIRMAN

Applicant complains that the second respondent
has initiated disciplinary proceedings against him
though he lacks jurisdiction in that behalf. Whether
or not he has jurisdiction, is a matter which at least
in the first instance should be decided by second
respondent. Applicant may raise his objections
before second respondent in the form of a properly
constituted representation within ten days of today.


contd....

11

If a representation is so received, second respondent shall consider the same and pass a speaking order thereon within four weeks of the date of receipt of the same. Till such time status quo as on today will be maintained.

2. We dispose of the application with the aforesaid directions. Parties will suffer their costs.

Dated the 10th September, 1996.


R.K. AHOOJA
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER


CHETTUR SANKARAN NAIR (J)
CHAIRMAN

ks109