

3

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH

...

O.A. No.2144 of 1995

Dated New Delhi, this 5th day of January, 1996.

Hon'ble Shri K. Muthukumar, Member(A)

Surinder Kumar Vedi  
R/o 5/2, Railway Colony  
Seva Nagar  
NEW DELHI.

... Applicant

By Advocate: Shri Nalin Tripathi, Proxy  
counsel for Mrs. Sarla Chandra

versus

1. Union of India  
Through General Manager  
Northern Railway  
Baroda House  
NEW DELHI.

2. Divisional Superintendent  
Engineer (Estates)  
DRM Office  
Northern Railway  
NEW DELHI.


.... Respondents

O R D E R (Oral)

Shri K. Muthukumar, M(A)

Heard arguments on admission. After arguing for some time, the learned proxy counsel for the applicant states that he wishes to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh application after moving the Respondents again ~~against~~ their order dated 27th June, 1995 (Annexure 'III') and also taking into account the latest development in this case.

The application is, therefore, dismissed ~~in a~~ ~~line~~ as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file a fresh application, if so advised.

  
(K. Muthukumar)  
Member(A)

dbc