

(10)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

CP No. 229/95 in  
OA No. 759/95

New Delhi this the 24th day of April 1996

HON'BLE MR. S.R.ADIGE, MEMBER (A)  
HON'BLE MRS. LAKSHMI SWAMINATHAN, MEMBER (J)

Hari Singh  
Casual Labourer (retrenched)  
National Art Gallery of Modern Art  
Jaipur House  
New Delhi

....Applicant

(By Advocate: None)

Versus

Union of India through

1. The Secretary,  
Ministry of Human Resources  
Central Secretariat  
South Block  
New Delhi
2. The Deputy Secretary  
National Art Gallery of Modern Art  
Dept. of Culture  
Jaipur House, New Delhi
3. The Keeper/Head of Office  
National Art Gallery of Modern Art  
Dept. of Culture  
Jaipur House, New Delhi

...Respondents

(By Advocate: Shri Madhav Panikar)

ORDER (Oral)

Hon'ble Shri S.R.Adige, Member (A)

Shri Madhav Panikar learned counsel for the respondents states that pursuant to the Tribunal's order dated 25-5-95 in OA 759/95 Hari Singh Vs Secretary, Ministry of Human Resources, Govt. of India and Others, the applicant has been re-engaged w.e.f. 11-1-96 and ~~as regards the question~~ <sup>as regards the question</sup> ~~of~~ taking up

(11)

the applicant's regularisation. Shri Madhav Panikar states that the same will be taken up subject to the availability of vacancies and in accordance with the rules and instructions as the impugned judgment itself directs.

2 . It is perhaps for this reason that none appeared for the applicant even on the second call. In any case, as the applicant has been reinstated and respondents themselves state that the applicant's regularisation will be taken up as per directions in the impugned judgment, the C.P. does not survive. It is accordingly dismissed and notices against the respondents are discharged.

*Lakshmi Swaminathan*  
( LAKSHMI SWAMINATHAN )  
MEMBER (J).

*S.R. Adige*  
( S.R. ADIGE )  
MEMBER (A).